

2

1

Friday, 25 November 2022

2

(10.27 am)

3

(In the presence of the jury)

4

MR JUSTICE GOSS: Mr Astbury.

5

MR ASTBURY: My Lord, Anna Milan, please.

6

DR ANNA MILAN (sworn)

7

Examination-in-chief by MR ASTBURY

8

MR ASTBURY: Thank you. Could we begin with your full name, please?

10

A. It's Anna Margaret Milan.

11

Q. Thank you. I understand it's Dr Milan?

12

A. It is, but Anna is fine.

13

Q. I know the temptation is, because I am asking the questions, to direct the answers at me, but if you could keep your voice up please and ensure that it is projected to the back of the court, we would be very grateful.

18

A. I apologise, I've had a cold, so if you can't hear me, do shout.

20

Q. I am sure someone will let us know if there is a problem.

22

Your occupation, please?

23

A. I am a consultant clinical biochemist at Liverpool Royal.

25

Q. Thank you. I think you worked specifically in the

1           clinical biochemistry unit at Liverpool University  
2           Foundation NHS Hospital Trust?

3       A. Yes, that's correct.

4       Q. You have been asked to comment on a blood sample that  
5           arrived at your laboratory, is that right --

6       A. It is, yes.

7       Q. -- in the name of [Baby F]? [Baby F] was born on  
8           29 July 2015.

9       A. Correct.

10      Q. And you've had the opportunity to look at the records  
11           at the laboratory in that regard?

12      A. Yes, I have.

13      Q. Thank you. I think you were able to confirm, were you,  
14           that a blood sample taken from [Baby F] was received  
15           from the Countess of Chester Hospital at 4.15 in the  
16           afternoon of 6 August 2015?

17      A. Yes, that's correct.

18      Q. And that sample was submitted to be tested for  
19           insulin and C-peptide levels?

20      A. It was, yes.

21      Q. Thank you. How are samples delivered, please, to your  
22           laboratory?

23      A. It very much depends on the nature of the test that's  
24           required. With insulin and C-peptide they have to be  
25           stored frozen, so that would have come via courier or

1 taxi in a bag that is temperature controlled to maintain  
2 that sample integrity.

3 Q. Thank you. Once the sample arrives, just so we  
4 understand -- where precisely is the laboratory?

5 A. We've just moved into a new building but it used to be  
6 in the Duncan Building as part of the Royal Hospital.  
7 The specimen reception, which is where the bag would  
8 have arrived, is on the ground floor and then it's  
9 brought up to the fourth floor.

10 Q. What happens, please, with the sample when it first  
11 arrives in its frozen form?

12 A. If it's a frozen sample it's treated as a priority to  
13 make sure that sample stays frozen, so every sample is  
14 taken individually with the request form to make sure  
15 that the patient name, date of birth and identifier,  
16 whether that's NHS or hospital number, match the details  
17 on the request form. If that happens then the sample is  
18 just refrozen with a bar code number on it.

19 Q. So on arrival, triage involves checking it has all the  
20 necessary detail --

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. -- to identify its origin and the purpose of the  
23 sampling?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. And then it's placed in your own freezer?

1           A. Yes.

2           Q. Okay. How, once this process of checking and triaging  
3           the arrival of the sample is complete and it is placed  
4           in the freezer, what happens to the sample next and  
5           within what sort of time frame?

6           A. Again it very much depends on what tests are requested  
7           and also if it's stated as urgent. So at that time --  
8           this was obviously 6/7 years ago -- insulin and  
9           C-peptides were measured in a batch; by that I mean they  
10           are not run in real time. And that's largely because  
11           we're an adult hospital, so we don't get urgent  
12           requests. So if it had been requested as urgent, we may  
13           have put it on the analyser that day, but at that stage  
14           this sample wasn't requested as an urgent, so it was  
15           frozen until we ran the batch the following week.

16           Q. So at that time, because of the nature of the bulk of  
17           the work that you received, insulin/C-peptide requests  
18           would be done together in batches?

19           A. Yes.

20           Q. And your recollection is that was the following week?

21           A. It was, yes.

22           Q. All right. Now, does the sample have to be defrosted  
23           before it is analysed?

24           A. It is, yes. So before we defrost anything, just so  
25           again to maintain sample integrity, we make sure all the

1

2

1       maintenance is done on that analyser and it passes all  
2       of its QC checks. By that I mean that it is fit to run  
3       before we defrost any samples.

4       Q. So in the context of this particular sample and insulin  
5       and C-peptide, is a specific machine used for that  
6       process?

7       A. It is. I know it doesn't mean a lot, but it is what we  
8       call a standalone machine. So it's in a separate room,  
9       so it has somebody dedicated to run it, and once  
10       that's -- it's routine, it's a routine analyser, but  
11       we have dedicated people to run it and make sure it's  
12       fit before anything goes on it.

13       Q. Again, in the context of insulin and C-peptide, that's  
14       a machine that would be gone to with a batch from time  
15       to time and before anything was analysed on it, it would  
16       be --

17       A. Yes.

18       Q. -- what, the maintenance would be checked?

19       A. All maintenance is done and there's various procedures,  
20       documented SOPs, as would be expected in a laboratory.

21       Q. Pausing there, sorry, SOPs?

22       A. Standard operating procedures. We are under  
23       accreditation by a governing body and to make sure our  
24       lab is fit for purpose we have to have very documented  
25       procedures in place to ensure that everything is

1

2

1 standardised, so machines are fit for purpose but are  
2 fit for purpose the same as they would be in any  
3 laboratory in the UK.

4 Q. Right. So you mentioned you're part of a standard?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Who sets that standard?

7 A. It's UKAS, UK Laboratory Accreditation Schemes.

8 Q. Do the manufacturers have any input on those maintenance  
9 procedures?

10 A. They do. So they dictate what maintenance they deem is  
11 necessary for that machine to be running. They're very  
12 standardised procedures. They have to be ticked before  
13 the machine can actually be used.

14 Q. Would any sample be placed within the machine before all  
15 of those maintenance checks were completed?

16 A. No.

17 Q. We've mentioned the manufacturer. Can you confirm who  
18 the manufacturer is and whether it's significant?

19 A. Our manufacturer for all of our analysers is Roche in  
20 the laboratory.

21 Q. How would you characterise Roche in your industry?

22 A. They're global. They are a massive business, UK, US,  
23 globally, and one of the largest suppliers of laboratory  
24 equipment in the UK and worldwide.

25 Q. And do they provide the additional equipment to go with

1                   the machine that's required for the testing?

2       A. Yes, they provide all of the consumables that are  
3                   needed, all of the reagents, all of the QC material --  
4                   and that's material that, once you have done your  
5                   maintenance, then you have to test it to make sure it's  
6                   performing, and all of the calibration standards as  
7                   well.

8       Q. You mentioned QC, that stands for?

9       A. Quality control.

10      Q. Thank you.

11      A. Sorry, I talk in abbreviations.

12      Q. Forgive me for being pedantic.

13      A. No, no.

14      Q. The fact that this company, Roche, provide all the  
15                   equipment, does that give rise to a particular term that  
16                   you use for the collective?

17      A. In the sense of?

18      Q. Well, Roche assays. Could you explain what they are?

19      A. Yes. The term assay is -- so insulin is an assay,  
20                   C-peptide is an assay. Everything that we run per  
21                   analyte is deemed an assay. So overall Roche probably  
22                   are responsible for about 400 to 500 assays that can be  
23                   available.

24      Q. Right. You mentioned the standards that are maintained.  
25                   Once the machine has been checked, quality assured, the

1 standard procedures have been run through and the  
2 analysis is completed, what happens then with the  
3 results?

4 A. Then we defrost the samples, ensuring they've been  
5 defrosted and mixed, and then they are placed on the  
6 analyser ready for analysis. They go through, depending  
7 on how long and which assay, they might take  
8 20 minutes/half an hour for analysis, and then the  
9 results are held. So we always put QC through after as  
10 well to ensure that during that time window that machine  
11 was performing appropriately. And once those QCs,  
12 quality controls, at the end of that batch are analysed  
13 and are deemed appropriate, then all the results that  
14 were run between those two time points are then released  
15 on to a technical validation system.

16 Q. Can I just break that down a little bit? You told us  
17 you do more than one sample on each batch?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. So, did I understand this correctly, that the results  
20 are held in a holding area almost --

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. -- whilst another quality assessment run is -- takes  
23 place?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. What does that involve, please?

1

2

1       A. Again, that's just running through what we call quality  
2       control material. So they have assigned values for each  
3       of these analytes and we have a window of which deem  
4       them acceptable, so a range by which if it doesn't hit  
5       that range, then we'd have to reject that batch and  
6       re-run it. So we always put them through at the  
7       beginning and the end, particularly on a standalone  
8       analyser, which is one that's used in batches, to make  
9       sure during that time window everything is running  
10       appropriately. So they go through at the beginning and  
11       they have to pass before we put samples on. And they go  
12       through at the end to determined that during that time  
13       window, whether it be 3 hours or 4 hours, that  
14       everything was running appropriately.

15       Q. It's not until you're satisfied, at the start and the  
16       end, of the efficiency of the system that you then  
17       release from that holding --

18       A. Yes.

19       Q. -- position? Where do the results go from there?

20       A. Then they go -- that's what we call technical  
21       validation. So one of the lab staff will have looked at  
22       the results of the QC at the beginning, they'll have  
23       looked at the results of those quality controls at the  
24       end, and they will then what's called technically  
25       validate. And then they come on to a list for

1           a biochemist, which is myself and others, to then review  
2           clinically with whatever information we may have been  
3           given.

4       Q. So once everybody is satisfied that the machine is  
5           working accurately and that the results as produced are  
6           accurate, then they go on to a human analysis, if I can  
7           put it that way --

8       A. Yes.

9       Q. -- to consider what the numbers mean?

10      A. Yes.

11      Q. Would that be a fair way to put it?

12      A. That's correct.

13      MR JUSTICE GOSS: Interpretation?

14      A. Yes.

15      MR ASTBURY: A much quicker way to put it. Thank you.

16           So what happens at that stage then, please?

17      A. At that stage they are put on what we call a list, just  
18           for an easy term. We've got a technical term for it but  
19           it goes on to a list. Then, as a biochemist, we get  
20           a report that shows us the QC data so we can actually  
21           then confirm that technically they'd been validated,  
22           which I know is sort of -- makes it another level of  
23           checking. Then we start to look at them. If there's  
24           been information on the request form we can add an  
25           appropriate clinical comment. If the numbers themselves

1 speak, so what they say, we can also add a comment just  
2 based on the numbers as well.

3 Q. Once they've been through the human filter, if I can put  
4 it that way, what happens to the results then?

5 A. Depending on the nature of the comments that we might  
6 put on there, if it's something that we require or we  
7 deem that needs telephoning to the requester, whether  
8 that be an inpatient doctor or whether that's an  
9 external hospital, we will then phone that result  
10 through to the requesting location, especially back  
11 in -- when this was done we still required snail mail,  
12 it wasn't as electronically based as it currently is.  
13 So rather than wait for a paper report to get through to  
14 the requesting location, if it was deemed appropriate  
15 we would have phoned a result through.

16 Q. We'll come to that in a moment, but perhaps we should  
17 deal with the results of this particular sample next.

18 The sample was labelled, you checked, as having been  
19 taken at 17.56 on 5 August 2015; is that right?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. It was analysed within your laboratory and the results  
22 for this particular sample were -- and I think in fact  
23 you've provided a printout of the results; is that  
24 right?

25 A. Yes.

2

1 Q. If I can ask Mr Murphy to put AM1 on the screen, please.

2 Not something we've seen before. This is a document

3 I'm sure you recognise from your professional life.

4 Could you just please confirm for us what the results

5 showed as a result of the analysis that you have

6 described to us?

7 A. This screen obviously looks a bit alien because it's

8 what we would see on our in-system -- what we call

9 Telepath, which is how we interpret our results. But

10 just to orientate you, the top left is the unique

11 identifiers, that's the hospital number of the patient.

12 Obviously at that stage, the name -- because when the

13 request came in it might have been that they were

14 referred to as twin 1, twin 2 without a first name, so

15 we've kept with that with twin 2 on the request form.

16 Date of birth and the requesting location.

17 The specimen number is the unique identifier we'd

18 have given that sample when it came into the laboratory

19 once we had checked all the demographics, so that the

20 name matched with the request form.

21 Obviously the collected time is the time it was

22 collected at the referral location.

23 Then underneath you've got 6 August, 16.15. That is

24 when we booked it into the system, so that time is when

25 it was actually booked in.

1

2

1           Underneath you have three tests -- we'll, you've got  
2        two tests but two different units for insulin. So  
3        C-peptide is reported in picomoles per litre. And the  
4        value of less than 169 means it was undetectable on our  
5        system, so that's the lower report. We couldn't measure  
6        it in our assay.

7       Q. Sorry, pausing there, there comes a point where there is  
8        such a small amount that even your computer can't --  
9        your testing equipment can't detect its presence?

10      A. Correct.

11      Q. And the threshold for that presumably is 169?

12      A. Yes, it is.

13      Q. So when it says less than 169, that could be zero, that  
14        could be 168 or anywhere in between?

15      A. Basically it means that we cannot accurately give it a  
16        number because it could be anything below that or it  
17        could be completely zero, but the assay itself can't  
18        distinguish anything below that number.

19      Q. Thank you.

20      A. Then the insulin is reported in two different units.  
21        But the important one with relation to the C-peptide is  
22        the one that's got SI in brackets next to it. That's  
23        the international reporting units. That puts it in the  
24        same units as the C-peptide, which is picomoles per  
25        litre. So it's only a factor different, it's not that

1           we've measured it twice. There's a multiplication  
2           factor involved. But the important one is the 4,657,  
3           because that's in the same units as the C-peptide, and  
4           obviously they come from the same molecule, so that's  
5           what gives you your indication.

6       Q. So just dealing with that briefly, so I understand it.  
7           In order to compare the two figures, please correct me  
8           if I'm wrong, they are expressed in exactly the same  
9           measurement or by means of the same measurement --

10      A. Yes.

11      Q. -- so that there's no, as it were, distortion between  
12           the comparison?

13      A. Yes. If you're looking for ratios, which is what you  
14           tend to look at for interpretation, you're looking at  
15           the SI units for insulin and then the C-peptide so you  
16           can calculate your ratio of C-peptide to insulin.

17      Q. You mentioned before that in some circumstances the  
18           hospital involved will be called, there's a telephone  
19           call takes place?

20      A. Mm-hm.

21      Q. You're able to confirm that happened in this particular  
22           case involving [Baby F]. If we can look, please,  
23           you provided, I think, a note of the telephone call;  
24           is that right?

25      A. Yes. We do try and -- obviously it's not always

1

2

1           possible but we do try and keep a complete audit trail  
2           end to end so that we can determine who a result was  
3           telephoned by.

4       Q.   Thank you.  If we could go to AM2, please.  Can you  
5           confirm this is the document you were able to provide?

6       A.   Correct.

7       Q.   Please tell us or just explain to us briefly what this  
8           tells you, knowing the system that was in place?

9       A.   Yes.  Again, it's not a particularly attractive screen,  
10           but what it documents is the result that we telephoned,  
11           which was the C-peptide and insulin, who it was  
12           telephoned by, and where to.  So it was telephoned to  
13           the Countess of Chester biochemist, which would be the  
14           equivalent of one of us at Chester, and where it was  
15           telephoned and what time.  The advice we would have  
16           given them would also be the comment that was reported  
17           when they got the paper report as well.

18       Q.   And we can see there:

19                    "Advice information: low C-peptide to insulin."

20       A.   Mm-hm.

21       Q.   Is that, as you were telling us before, how you enter  
22           them in the same measurements --

23       A.   Yes.

24       Q.   -- so that a comparison can be made?  Is that what you  
25           were alluding to there?

1

2

1 A. Yes. That's correct.

2 Q. You then have:

3 "[Question mark] exogenous"?

4 A. Yes. It's our shorthand way of putting "query  
5 exogenous". So while it might look as though it's  
6 a question mark, it's a shorthand we often use for  
7 query. So we're just basically saying, "Is this  
8 exogenous? It looks like it is".9 Q. Okay. Very briefly, why does that stand out as  
10 exogenous?11 A. The C-peptide is undetectable and in health C-peptide  
12 should be a lot higher than insulin because it's got  
13 a longer half-life and it's not active. So insulin is  
14 quickly cleared, so in health your ratio should be  
15 between 5 and 10 C-peptides to insulin.

16 Q. So it should be considerably higher than --

17 A. The insulin --

18 Q. -- (overspeaking) not considerably lower?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Then:

21 "Suggest send sample to Guildford for exogenous  
22 insulin."

23 Just explain that to us please.

24 A. It's not a standard comment and it's not something that  
25 most people take up. But in a case where there is

1 a suggestion of exogenous insulin, if people wanted to  
2 determine the type, Guildford is a specialist laboratory  
3 that can help. They have assays that can distinguish  
4 between the sources of the insulin. By that I mean is  
5 it human or -- because obviously some insulin  
6 supplements are bovine in origin or porcine, so they can  
7 help distinguish between that. But it's not something  
8 people tend to take up unless there's a real difficulty  
9 in trying to understand where that insulin came from.

10 Q. Right. Who is that a decision for?

11 A. That's for the requesting location to discuss with the  
12 clinical team.

13 Q. So it appears, on your note, on the basis -- that that's  
14 something that would have been raised with them rather  
15 than something you would have been considering --

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. -- from your perspective?

18 A. Yes, we wouldn't have sent a sample on unless there was  
19 a clinical demand for it. The results speak for  
20 themselves, so it's unlikely that it would be sent on.  
21 By putting that, it implied that we would keep the  
22 sample as well if they did want to send it on.

23 Q. Right, okay. And how long would the sample have been  
24 kept for whilst that decision was being made?

25 A. We would have kept it for at least 7 days because it

1                   would have been refrozen after the assay.

2       Q.    You mentioned Guildford and you told us about the type  
3                   of quality assurance that takes place within your  
4                   laboratory.

5       A.    Mm-hm.

6       Q.    Is there a quality assurance process from outwith the  
7                   laboratory?

8       A.    Yes.   So every laboratory, as part of the UKAS  
9                   accreditation, which was the governing body I mentioned  
10                  earlier, we also have to participate in what's called  
11                  external quality assessment.   And this is a body that  
12                  sends us anonymised samples every 4 weeks that we have  
13                  to run through all of our assays as patients and then  
14                  return the results, so you can see if your assay is  
15                  performing in line with all the other Roche users in the  
16                  UK.

17      Q.    So you -- is this right, Guildford presumably is the HQ  
18                  for your particular area of expertise; is that right?

19      A.    Guildford is -- that's a separate laboratory, it's like  
20                  our laboratory, but their specialism is  
21                  insulin/C-peptide.   But the external quality assurance  
22                  is done by a body called Birmingham Quality.   They  
23                  basically cover all of the laboratories in the UK and  
24                  send out these samples as part of their accreditation  
25                  scheme.   It's another level of checking.

1

2

1 Q. Another level of checking the efficiency of your  
2 laboratory, not internally but externally?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. That happens on a regular basis?

5 A. Yes, on a regular basis. It is retrospective because  
6 obviously you have analysed them, the results have been  
7 reported, but it helps you try and identify if you've  
8 ever got any problems, whether it's a manufacturer-based  
9 issue, so if everybody performs badly, or whether it's  
10 an individual laboratory performance.

11 Q. Were there any problems at any time around the time of  
12 this sample in --

13 A. No.

14 Q. -- 2015?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Does that enable you to express any view as to the  
17 confidence you have in the results you have just  
18 explained to us?

19 A. Very confident in the results. I mean, the pattern is  
20 very clear-cut. It's not numbers that -- obviously the  
21 C-peptide is below the limit of quantification --

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. -- but the insulin is very much in the measuring range,  
24 so I have no doubts about the numbers that were  
25 produced. Every procedure was followed that we would

1 follow for any sample. There was nothing different  
2 about this sample.

3 MR ASTBURY: I have no more questions for you.

4 Cross-examination by MR MYERS

5 MR MYERS: Just a couple of questions please. You explained  
6 to us that the sample has to be frozen to maintain its  
7 integrity?

8 A. Mm-hm.

9 Q. If it's not frozen, does that undermine -- or does the  
10 sample deteriorate or is there a risk of the sample  
11 deteriorating?

12 A. So it very much depends on the time window of that. So  
13 we have procedures in the documentation that -- we've  
14 said about the SOPs -- that would say with what window  
15 we would accept a sample if it had arrived, say, in the  
16 post. But obviously this arrived frozen. But if it had  
17 come in the post and we didn't have any sort of  
18 questionable time window about how long that sample had  
19 been defrosted for --

20 Q. Right. If it had arrived unfrozen, what's the time  
21 window that you look at for a sample like this?

22 A. Again, it very much depends on the assay. So depending  
23 on what analyte, because some are more stable than  
24 others, but easily this insulin and C-peptide, because  
25 we have added them on -- and by that I mean if suddenly

1                   somebody had said, I've got a reason to request it,  
2                   we would add it on to a sample, so we would accept it  
3                   within 12 to 24 hours.

4   Q. Okay. If it hasn't been frozen in the right way, is  
5                   there a risk of that affecting the accuracy of results?

6   A. If it hasn't then, there is a risk but obviously with  
7                   this we knew the time window from the time of the sample  
8                   being taken to when we'd received it was within 24 hours  
9                   anyway, even though it arrived frozen.

10   Q. The sample was taken at 17.56 on the 5th, wasn't it --

11   A. Yes.

12   Q. -- which is about 22 hours before you received it?

13   A. Yes.

14   Q. But as it happens, do you know at what point that sample  
15                   was frozen in that process?

16   A. No, but obviously Chester's laboratory will have their  
17                   procedures in place.

18   Q. Yes.

19   A. So that sample quality would have been checked before  
20                   they'd have sent it to us. So they would have to have  
21                   ensured that actually it's been stored appropriately and  
22                   they are sending it to us appropriately as well.

23   Q. That's certainly what should happen, isn't it?

24   A. Yes.

25   Q. Can we just put up AM2 again, please, Mr Murphy.

1

2

1           This is a record, Dr Milan, of the communication  
2        between your laboratory and the Countess of Chester  
3        Hospital; is that correct?

4       A. It is correct, yes.

5       Q. And we can see that that communication took place on  
6        12 August 2015 at 16.40?

7       A. Yes.

8       Q. And you've explained to us how it was that the timing  
9        worked out like that; I'm not asking any questions about  
10        that. I'm just going to ask you what it says at the  
11        bottom where it says:

12           "Low C-peptide to insulin. [Query] exogenous.

13           Suggest sample to Guildford for exogenous insulin."

14       A. Mm-hm.

15       Q. Is that advice that is given to the Countess of Chester  
16        for them to follow up if they want to do so?

17       A. Yes. So that's then for the Countess of Chester to  
18        discuss with the clinical team. It's very rarely  
19        required because, as you say, the time window by the  
20        time the results is there, they've identified the cause  
21        and the patient -- the most important thing clinically  
22        is the patient. So in this case knowing what the source  
23        was probably wouldn't have aided it, but we've just  
24        given them the option to say: we've kept your sample, if  
25        you do not want to send it on, please get in touch and

1

2

1           we would forward it on.

2       Q. So the fact is at Guildford there's a specialist  
3           laboratory that looks at the nature of the insulin  
4           involved; is that correct?

5       A. Yes.

6       Q. And therefore if the unit who's requested this to be  
7           done have questions about what lies behind these  
8           readings, if they want they can follow that up?

9       A. Yes. And I mean, sometimes it happens when you've got  
10           sort of perhaps a bit more of a detectable C-peptide but  
11           it's still not in the right ratio, so could there be  
12           exogenous and endogenous? But in this case there's no  
13           endogenous present.

14      Q. No, but if there are any questions arising as to what  
15           lies behind these figures, the next step would be to  
16           send it to Guildford for specialist analysis?

17      A. If it was required, yes.

18      Q. If it was required, and that's something the hospital  
19           have to make a decision about, that's no duty on you to  
20           do that?

21      A. No.

22      Q. You keep the sample for a certain length of time  
23           afterwards, don't you?

24      A. Yes.

25      Q. And you stored the sample that was received from the

1 Countess of Chester for 7 days?

2 A We did

3 Q. And then it's disposed of?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. So that means, were there any requirement to analyse  
6 that sample after that seven-day period, that couldn't  
7 be done because, as a matter of the procedure, it's been  
8 destroyed by them?

9 A. It has yes.

10 MR MYERS: All right. Thank you, Dr Milan.

## 11 Re-examination by MR ASTBURY

12 MR ASTBURY: Thank you. Just one matter arising, doctor,  
13 with regard to Guildford.

14 So I understand it, would Guildford assist with  
15 whether it was exogenous or not?

16 A. No. The results dictate that it's exogenous. They  
17 would just help, if you were unsure of the source, as in  
18 what is the -- is it mammalian exogenous insulin or is  
19 it bovine... It's generally used probably more in  
20 forensic cases where you need to determine --

21 Q. So those potential sources, can I just see if I have  
22 understood, so bovine insulin can be --

23 A. Or porcine, yes.

24 Q. -- obtained from a cow or from a pig?

25 A. Yes.

1

2

1 Q. That's the mammalian version that you discuss. Equally  
2 we've heard there are synthetic insulins.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So really, Guildford would have been deciding or  
5 assisting with exactly what type of exogenous insulin --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- not whether it was exogenous or not?

8 A. Correct.

9 MR ASTBURY: Thank you. Does my Lord have any questions?

10 Questions from THE JUDGE

11 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Just one, yes. You say this arrived  
12 frozen. Is there a common way in which these samples  
13 are frozen in hospitals?

14 A. So once -- something for insulin/C-peptide, once it's  
15 been checked at the referral laboratory, so this would  
16 be Chester, it's spun, which basically means the serum  
17 is separated from the cells. That is frozen and it  
18 should be frozen at at least minus 20 degrees. And then  
19 obviously when it's sent to us, it'll be sent with ice  
20 blocks and dry ice to keep it frozen in the transport.  
21 But obviously Chester's only 30/40 minutes down the  
22 road, so it'll be in a cool bag, insulated box, with ice  
23 around it.

24 MR JUSTICE GOSS: So that will have happened some time  
25 in the 22 hours between the taking and its arrival --

1 A. Yes. They won't have taken it out. If it's how we do  
2 it and it's how most laboratories do it, they will not  
3 take it out of the freezer until everything is ready and  
4 the courier or the taxi driver is almost with them.

5 And obviously we transport samples like this  
6 frequently and most samples will stay frozen for a day  
7 in those conditions, if not longer. They are very well  
8 packed in.

9 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Right. Thank you very much indeed for  
10 coming and giving your evidence. It's complete and  
11 you are free to go.

12 MR ASTBURY: Dr Milan may be back.

13 MR JUSTICE GOSS: You may be back. Well, just in case then,  
14 I'll say this to you: don't speak to anyone about  
15 anything to do with this case, in particular your  
16 evidence, and don't seek out any information about  
17 what's going on in the trial from anyone or any source,  
18 be that over the various forms of media one can gather  
19 information now. So just keep your mind clear.

20 Thank you very much anyway.

21 (The witness withdrew)

22 MR JOHNSON: Professor Peter Hindmarsh, please.

23 PROFESSOR PETER HINDMARSH (sworn)

24 Examination-in-chief by MR JOHNSON

25 MR JOHNSON: Would you start by giving us your full name,

1

2

1                   please?

2       A. I'm Peter Christopher Hindmarsh, and I'm a professor,  
3                   emeritus professor, of paediatric endocrinology at  
4                   University College London and also a consultant  
5                   paediatric endocrinologist at University College London  
6                   Hospitals.

7       Q. Thank you. Do those hospitals include Great Ormond  
8                   Street or not?

9       A. That's a separate entity, but yes.

10      Q. Are you a professor of paediatric endocrinology there as  
11                   well?

12      A. No, that title is merely conferred by University College  
13                   London.

14      Q. Thank you. Are you an honorary consultant at Great  
15                   Ormond Street though?

16      A. Yes. At that stage, yes.

17      Q. Thank you. A paediatric endocrinologist, what does that  
18                   mean in terms that I can understand, please, professor?

19      A. So what we deal with are the hormones in the body that  
20                   regulate a number of areas, such as overall metabolism,  
21                   glucose, or perhaps in layman's terms sugar, metabolism,  
22                   fat metabolism, growth and development, and air response  
23                   to stress.

24      Q. Thank you. Were you consulted by Cheshire Police  
25                   in relation to the case of [Baby F]?

1       A. I was.

2       Q. And did the concerns of Cheshire Police relate to  
3           a hypoglycaemic episode that [Baby F] had had on  
4           5 August 2015?

5       A. That's correct.

6       Q. Were you given a quantity of material which included the  
7           following: some maternity records for [Baby F]'s mother?  
8           The Countess of Chester's medical records for  
9           [Baby F]? Specimen result, a specimen result sheet for  
10           [Baby F]? A prescription for [Baby F]? And witness  
11           statements made by a number of other experts, who  
12           included Dr Dewi Evans and Dr Sandie Bohin?

13      A. That's correct.

14      Q. Were you told that the suspicion was that [Baby F] had  
15           been given synthetic insulin?

16      A. Yes. I think the terminology used was "extraneous  
17           insulin injection/infusion", but yes.

18      MR JUSTICE GOSS: "Extraneous" meaning that what insulin had  
19           not been manufactured or made by the baby?

20      A. Correct, yes. I prefer, my Lord, the term "exogenous"  
21           if we can use that.

22      MR JUSTICE GOSS: As long as we all understand what  
23           exogenous is.

24      MR JOHNSON: Exogenous means, what, please, professor?

25      A. It means something that's not been produced within the

1                   body.

2       Q.    Thank you.  With that question in mind, did you consider  
3                   the information that you had been given?

4       A.    I did.

5       Q.    And did the issues that you considered include the  
6                   following: was [Baby F] given exogenous insulin, when  
7                   was he given it, and how was he given it?

8       A.    In considering the episode of hypoglycaemia, I did  
9                   conclude that the cause of the hypoglycaemia was not due  
10                   to any endogenous production of insulin and that it  
11                   was -- that the findings, the biochemical findings, were  
12                   compatible with the administration of exogenous insulin.

13      Q.    Yes.  Right.  I just want to deal with the circumstances  
14                   that led you to your conclusions, if I may.  Can I start  
15                   with your report, with your section 1, which is page 3  
16                   of the report, I believe.

17                   Did you, in your report, set out the circumstances  
18                   in which [Baby F] had been born in the 29th week  
19                   of -- sorry, the 30th week of gestation?

20      A.    Yes.  I made a note about that, about the birth weight  
21                   and about the subsequent progress within the first  
22                   12/24 hours of life, when focus rightly centred on  
23                   breathing, the use of artificial surfactant to help in  
24                   terms of ventilation and breathing, a noted blood  
25                   glucose concentration of 2.7 millimoles per litre.

1           Which -- it's lower, when repeated at 1.9 millimoles per  
2           litre, but corrected very rapidly with a standard  
3           infusion of 10% dextrose, delivering a glucose infusion  
4           rate of 4.2 milligrams per kilogram per minute, which is  
5           a normal rate for a newborn.

6       Q. What I'd like to do, if we can, professor, is just take  
7           the chronology reasonably slowly for all our benefits,  
8           really, not least my own. If Mr Murphy would help by  
9           putting up tile 5, please, just to refresh your memories  
10          as to the way things progressed.

11                  Here is the medical record to which you have just  
12          referred, I believe, professor; is that right?

13       A. Yes, that's correct.

14       Q. You record the surfactant, you record a blood sugar  
15           reading at the bottom of the page, and then, as we  
16           scroll down to 2918, we see that repeat gas about half  
17           a dozen lines down and the glucose reading of 1.9, which  
18           is what you have just referred to?

19       A. That's correct, yes.

20       Q. That, as you have told us, was treated with 10% dextrose  
21           on an infusion?

22       A. Correct.

23       Q. And that simple treatment rectified the problem at that  
24           stage; is that right?

25       A. That is correct, yes.

1

2

1 Q. Thank you. Was there then an episode on the 30th  
2 through to 31 July, where [Baby F]'s blood sugar rose  
3 beyond the normal range?

4 A. That's correct as well.

5 Q. Was that treated with a very small dose of insulin?

6 A. It was.

7 Q. And did that have the required effect of reducing  
8 [Baby F]'s blood sugar within a relatively short period  
9 of time?

10 A. It reduced the blood glucose and it returned the blood  
11 glucose towards the normal range.

12 Q. Thank you. Moving on, if we may, to 5 August, the jury  
13 has heard a body of evidence relating to the fact that,  
14 shortly after midnight, in the early hours of the 5th,  
15 a bag of total parenteral nutrition was set up on an  
16 infusion at or about 00.25.

17 Could we put up the chart at J3191, please?

18 Thank you.

19 I think you referred to this in your report,  
20 professor, and in particular you referred to the  
21 increase in heart rate that we can see charted there  
22 in the top third of the document on the screen; is that  
23 right?

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. You refer also to -- well, you refer specifically to the

1 rise in heart rate at 1 o'clock. Then a further  
2 increase at 2, 3 and 4 o'clock. And you refer  
3 retrospectively to the fact that prior to the TPN  
4 infusion being administered to [Baby F], his, that is  
5 [Baby F]'s, heart rate had been running consistently at  
6 a rate of about 150 beats per minute?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. If we go to tile 163, please, and scroll down so we get  
9 the reading in the early hours of the 5th.

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. What does that reading mean?

14 A. Well, it represents a very significant change from the  
15 value recorded on 4 August at 23.32 hours, which was  
16 5.5, and a value of 0.8 millimoles per litre is  
17 extremely low.

18 Q. We'll deal later with the potential consequences of such  
19 low blood sugar, but in general terms at this stage,  
20 is that low reading a cause for concern?

21 A. Absolutely.

22 Q. Rather than us going to and from a number of documents,  
23 you helpfully produced, as appendix 1 to your report,  
24 a table of blood glucose measurements; is that right?

25 A. That is correct, yes.

1

2

1 Q. I wonder whether Mr Murphy could put up that table. For  
2 the lawyers' benefit, this is in the witness statements  
3 at page 14261.

4 Just to be entirely clear about this, professor, all  
5 the black script on the page is your script, isn't it?

6 A. Yes, that is correct.

7 Q. What we have done is I have added into your document the  
8 T numbers, which are the tile numbers in the digital  
9 sequence of events presentation, so that if anybody  
10 wants to cross-reference the information in your table  
11 to the material that the jury has, there's a ready  
12 cross-reference there. All right?

13 A. Mm.

14 Q. So looking at that table, first of all, do we see at the  
15 top on 4 August at 23.32 the same material that we saw  
16 on the blood gas chart that we just had on the screen?

17 A. Yes, that's correct.

18 Q. Followed by the 0.8 reading at 01.54 in the morning?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Is that right?

21 A. That is correct.

22 Q. Thank you. Looking at that series of readings, first of  
23 all, and then we'll break it down a little, what does  
24 that tell you?

25 A. What it tells us is that the hypoglycaemia is persistent

1 from that first measurement of 01.54 hours, right  
2 through. There are some intermittent points where  
3 there's been an interruption of the infusion system, for  
4 example at 12.00 hours on 5 August, but once that's  
5 reinstated, the hypoglycaemia continues until cessation  
6 of the total parenteral nutrition at 18.55 hours on  
7 5 August.

8 Q. You've already told us that the very first reading at  
9 23.32 of 5.5 is a normal, in inverted commas, reading;  
10 is that right?

11 A. Absolutely, yes.

12 Q. The final reading at 21.17, would that be classified as  
13 normal?

14 A. It would, yes, absolutely.

15 Q. There is a reading at 5 in the morning of 2.9. We've  
16 heard from the staff at the Countess of Chester that  
17 that's above 2.6, which generally speaking they would  
18 take as their cut-off. Would you agree with that as  
19 a matter of principle?

20 A. That's conventionally the value used. I think, for the  
21 purposes of the court, we should continue with that.

22 Q. Yes, thank you. We can see there that that particular  
23 reading is on tile 200. I'd just like the jury to see  
24 the document that lies behind tile 200, from where that  
25 reading derives.

1                   If Mr Murphy would zoom in on the 5 o'clock reading  
2                   to include the initials of the person that recorded that  
3                   reading -- 5 am, sorry.

4                   Of course, you don't know who that person is, but  
5                   I'm just doing that for the court's benefit at the  
6                   moment.

7 Now, returning to -- if we could remove that,  
8 please. Could we go back to Professor Hindmarsh's  
9 table, please? It's the document I4261.

10 Did you look at the medical records to see what  
11 treatment had been given to [Baby F] over the period of  
12 time covered by the readings which you replicate in your  
13 table?

14 A. Yes, and I've tried as best I can to make notes down the  
15 right-hand column of what I think was happening with  
16 fluid administration anyway.

17 MR JUSTICE GOSS: There's a note from the jury.

18 (Pause)

19 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I'll tell you what the note says:

20 "Can the jury have a printout of the table?"

21 MR. JOHNSON: Oh yes.

22 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I was going to raise that at an  
23 appropriate moment. I didn't want to interrupt the  
24 professor's evidence.

25 MR JOHNSON: Would they like that now?

1       MR JUSTICE GOSS: You put it up on the screen each time,  
2                    don't you, but on the other hand if they have it on  
3                    paper they can write on it or make any notes. I was  
4                    going to say they should get it in any event because  
5                    I want it, and you want it.

6        MR JOHNSON: I've got it.

7        MR JUSTICE GOSS: All right. We'll press on then. Sorry to  
8                    interrupt you.

9        MR JOHNSON: Not at all. It is, of course, because it's  
10                    been shown, available digitally. But if a paper copy is  
11                    required there's no problem at all.

12                   Sorry, professor. Just going back to your table,  
13                    I think you compared, and I'm looking midway down your  
14                    page 4 now, I think you compared that chronology, as  
15                    you have produced it, to events that were going on with  
16                    the treatment of [Baby F] at the time; is that right?

17        A. That's correct, yes.

18        Q. You looked in particular at boluses and infusions of  
19                    sugar that were being given to [Baby F] and compared  
20                    that information with the readings that were being  
21                    obtained by the various blood tests that were being  
22                    conducted?

23        A. That's correct, yes.

24        Q. And what did you notice so far as the interrelationship  
25                    between the figures as reproduced on the screen and the

1                   treatment that was being undertaken at the time?

2       A. Well, over this period of time we can see documented  
3                   ongoing hypoglycaemia, which has taken place despite  
4                   five bolus injections of 10% dextrose and the ongoing  
5                   glucose delivery from the 10% dextrose infusion that was  
6                   running concomitantly and the glucose that is also  
7                   contained within the total parenteral nutrition.

8                   Putting the infusion information together then that  
9                   would give us a glucose infusion rate of somewhere in  
10                  the region of 12 milligrams per kilogram per minute,  
11                  which is twice the normal requirement of an infant -- of  
12                  a baby.

13                  What is more difficult for me to quantitate and add  
14                  to that is the contribution essentially from the five  
15                  bolus injections of 10% dextrose. So although I'm  
16                  quoting an infusion rate delivering the 12 milligrams  
17                  per kilogram per minute, it is likely that more glucose  
18                  was being delivered because of the additional amounts  
19                  coming from the bolus injections.

20                  So in terms of the amount of glucose being  
21                  administered, we're talking a minimum of twice the  
22                  normal daily requirement, but probably more than that.

23       Q. From your examination of the records, did you  
24                  identify -- and I'm midway down your page 4,  
25                  professor -- three events of note that day after the TPN

1                   started to run at 00.25 in the morning?

2       A. So I've commented already on the prolonged period of  
3                   hypoglycaemia that appears to be associated with the  
4                   introduction of that infusion. And then there is an  
5                   episode commencing around 10.00 hours on 5 August when  
6                   there were problems with the cannula, the infusion of  
7                   TPN and fluids, which meant that this needed to be  
8                   attended to, re-sited, and as a result of that, fluids  
9                   were discontinued. And following that discontinuation,  
10                  you can see there are two further glucose measurements,  
11                  one at 11.46 hours at 1.4 millimoles per litre, so not  
12                  too much different from the one at 10.00 hours, but then  
13                  a further value at 12.00 hours of 2.4 millimoles per  
14                  litre, which would imply that the blood glucose had  
15                  started to increase spontaneously because at that stage  
16                  there was no contribution from the intravenous route.

17       Q. So on the face of it, [Baby F] was a child who was  
18                  receiving double the normal requirement of sugar as  
19                  a result of the combination of TPN and dextrose, and yet  
20                  when he was taken off that double quantity of sugar, his  
21                  blood sugar actually increased?

22       A. That's how I see it and I believe that is correct.

23       Q. Yes.

24       MR JUSTICE GOSS: We'll pause there, I think, and distribute  
25                  those at this stage.

1

2

1 MR JOHNSON: Thank you.

2 (Handed)

3 If we go, now the jury has the paper version, to  
4 tile 259, please, Mr Murphy. Could you expand it for  
5 us, please?

6 Professor, did you identify -- it's not the clearest  
7 screen, but did you identify that the TPN or some TPN  
8 was recommenced at about midday, according to that  
9 chart?

10 A. Yes. It looks as though the intravenous infusion  
11 problems were resolved and the infusion was commenced  
12 around 12 midday.

13 Q. And if we look at the paper version of your chart, if  
14 I can just hand to you --

15 A. I've got one actually.

16 MR JUSTICE GOSS: You can hand it back. You have two now,  
17 it doesn't matter.

18 MR JOHNSON: Sorry, my mistake.

19 If we look at your chart, do we see that at midday  
20 the blood glucose level was 2.4?

21 A. It was, yes. That's absolutely correct.

22 Q. But that by 2 hours later, at 14.00 hours, again that  
23 was heading in the wrong direction, back down to 1.9?

24 A. That's correct, yes, and remained there until later in  
25 the afternoon.

1

2

1 Q. Yes, by which time the infusions had been stopped again;  
2 is that right?

3 A. They'd been stopped at 18.55, I think, is the time, yes.

4 Q. So again, factually, is there, on the face of it, the  
5 paradox between a child being given more sugar but the  
6 blood sugar level dropping?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. At 17.56, I'm still on page 4 of your report, did you  
9 record the fact that at that time the medical team took  
10 a blood sample for analysis from [Baby F]?

11 A. That is correct.

12 Q. And are the results of -- well, you set out the results  
13 of that sample, they are set out in our tile 292,  
14 please, Mr Murphy.

15 Do we see there a blood glucose level from the lab  
16 at Chester of 1.3?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. There is, on the face of it, a disparity between that  
19 result and the one we can see on your chart at  
20 18.00 hours, which is 4 minutes later, which, if anybody  
21 wanted to look at it, is at 295. What's the explanation  
22 for that apparent, if any, for that apparent disparity?

23 A. So we have here the glucose measurement in the  
24 laboratory, which is a plasma glucose measurement, and  
25 we have a near-patient blood glucose measurement, so

1

2

1 there's a slight difference between the two. According  
2 to the International Organisation on Standardisation,  
3 a discrepancy of anything up to 0.8 millimoles per litre  
4 between a laboratory plasma glucose measurement and  
5 a near-patient blood glucose measurement is acceptable,  
6 so they aren't quite the same as -- there's a whole host  
7 of reasons why that is the case, but the discrepancy  
8 between the 1.3 and the 1.9, as I say, under the  
9 International Organisation On Standardisation, that  
10 would be within their acceptable range for potential  
11 discrepancies.

12 Q. Whichever is the more accurate, what we have here is an  
13 unacceptably low level; is that the essence of it?

14 A. The essence of it is, whether it's 1.3 or 1.9, it is  
15 very low.

16 Q. I just want to check my reference before I ask you the  
17 next question. You refer in your report, in the same  
18 paragraph that we've just dealt with, to the results  
19 that were obtained by Dr Milan's laboratory at the  
20 Royal Liverpool University Hospital. If Mr Murphy could  
21 put that on the screen, please. It's J26407, I think.

22 This is what Dr Milan spoke of about an hour ago or  
23 so. What do we see there, please, professor?

24 A. So we've got the sample, along with its timestamp of  
25 collection, at 17.56 hours on 5 April (sic). It's

1 a serum sample. And depicted below the dashed line are  
2 the results of the analysis undertaken and verified and  
3 released on 6 August at 16.15 hours. They show the  
4 measurement of C-peptide, which is quoted there at less  
5 than 169. The units aren't stated but we know that  
6 that is in picomoles per litre.

7 MR JUSTICE GOSS: We know, we've heard evidence of the fact  
8 that they don't -- the machine cannot detect anything  
9 less than 169. It could be between zero and 169.  
10 That's in evidence now.

11 A. Correct, yes.

12 You also have the insulin concentrations measured at  
13 the same time, 671 milliunits per litre and then  
14 in the -- in molar terms, that is the SI units, 4,657  
15 picomoles per litre.

16 MR JOHNSON: Dr Milan told us that comparing the 4,657  
17 figure for insulin with the C-peptide figure in the same  
18 units, the C-peptide figure should be anything between 5  
19 and 10 times the size of the insulin figure; is that  
20 correct?

21 A. I certainly said that in my documentation. I'm not  
22 entirely sure I heard her say that, but I may have  
23 missed it.

24 MR JUSTICE GOSS: She did say it.

25 A. Fine. She is correct as well.

1

2

1 MR JOHNSON: You're both correct.

2 A. We're both correct.

3 Q. Very good. Can we deal next with your page 5,  
4 professor, and with the dangers of very low insulin.

5 Can you explain to the jury the effect of a depressed  
6 level of insulin -- sorry, I said the dangers of very  
7 low insulin, what I meant to say was the dangers of very  
8 low blood sugar. Could you tell then jury what are the  
9 dangers of very low blood sugar, please?

10 A. The brain is reliant on a constant supply of glucose for  
11 function, and it does not store any glucose in reserve  
12 to any significant degree. It has some -- it can store  
13 glucose as glycogen, but that will only last 20 minutes.  
14 After that, there is no other energy available for  
15 functioning of the brain.

16 Now, fortunately, there is a slight way out of this  
17 problem and that is during hypoglycaemia, you can  
18 generate ketones and they're the breakdown products from  
19 fat. So you can break down fat as a source of energy  
20 and the brain will utilise the ketone bodies that are  
21 from that breakdown of fat as a substitute for the  
22 glucose that's missing. That's absolutely brilliant, it  
23 serves all of us very well indeed, and babies in  
24 particular, apart from one situation.

25 That is if your low blood glucose, hypoglycaemia, is

1

2

1 caused by an excess of insulin. Insulin will do two  
2 things. The first thing it will do is it will reduce  
3 blood glucose, as we've been talking about already. So  
4 you've lost your glucose, you have lost that source of  
5 energy. Can you fall back on ketone bodies? The answer  
6 is no. So the second problem with a high amount of  
7 insulin is that it will switch off ketone body  
8 formation. So in the situation of hyperinsulinaemic  
9 hypoglycaemia -- I apologise for the terminologies but  
10 that's what we're talking about, lots of insulin  
11 producing a low blood glucose -- the brain is now in  
12 a very, very susceptible state to incurring damage.  
13 That damage depends a little bit on the duration of  
14 hypoglycaemia and also the depth of the hypoglycaemia.

15 Now, initially, if you go down to a blood glucose of  
16 2.6 or 3, then you'll have mild confusion and if you are  
17 involved in any cognitive process, such as reading and  
18 writing, then there will be a deterioration in that.

19 But as we progress further down in terms of the blood  
20 glucose delivered to the brain, and that's not much,  
21 then it can lead on to seizures, death of brain cells,  
22 coma and, on occasions, death.

23 Q. So thus far, we have your opinion that the insulin in  
24 [Baby F]'s system was exogenous. You've just told us  
25 about the dangers -- well, you've told us also that the

1

2

1 depression in blood sugar coincided with the  
2 administration of fluids and you've told us of the  
3 potential consequences of administering exogenous  
4 insulin to anybody and, in particular, to a baby.

5 What I'd like to move on to, if we may, professor,  
6 is page 8 of your report, the means by which, in your  
7 opinion, the evidence suggests that this insulin was  
8 administered to [Baby F].

9 So it may be of some assistance to the jury to have  
10 one eye at least on the chart that you have -- the table  
11 that you have produced for us. Can you talk us through  
12 your conclusions so far as how it was this insulin was  
13 administered to [Baby F]? And can we start, please,  
14 with your understanding of the type of insulin that was  
15 available at the Countess of Chester Hospital?

16 A. The insulin in use, and has been in use for the last  
17 20/25 years or so, possibly more, is synthetic insulin.  
18 We do not have stocks of what were the animal insulins,  
19 that's the pig and cow insulins, they would not be held  
20 as regular stocks, either on wards or in the hospital  
21 pharmacy, they would have to be requested in their own  
22 right. So we're talking about the synthetic human  
23 insulins.

24 These split into two groupings. One is the  
25 short-acting insulins, which, as their name suggests,

1

2

1           act quite quickly within 30 minutes, 60 minutes, if  
2           given by the under-the-skin injection route, and tend to  
3           last, in terms of their duration of action, for  
4           something between 4 and 6 hours.

5           There are two types. One is where the chemists have  
6           created an insulin that looks identical to human  
7           insulin, and that's the commonest ward stock, known as  
8           Actrapid. There are other insulins that you may hear  
9           about, such as NovoRapid Aspart or Humalog, and these  
10           are synthetic, but they have a modification made to one  
11           of the amino acids, one of the building blocks of the  
12           insulin molecule, to alter their onset of action.

13           We don't tend to use those as ward stock for any  
14           intravenous infusions if we need them. So on the ward,  
15           the most likely insulin available for use in any  
16           situation would be Actrapid insulin, synthetic human  
17           insulin.

18           Q. I would like to just show you --

19           MR JUSTICE GOSS: Sorry, before we do that, you said there  
20           are two groupings, a short-acting one, and then did you  
21           run on to describe the second one?

22           A. I did not, my Lord. Thank you for picking me up on  
23           that.

24           The other type is long-acting insulins, which  
25           currently are modified in a way to prolong the duration

1

2

of action up to 12 or 24 hours. They're predominantly given by the subcutaneous, under the skin, route.

3 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Right.

4       A. I have never seen any information on them being given  
5           intravenously.

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Thank you.

7 MR JOHNSON: You're familiar with these substances from your  
8 working life, I've assumed. Can I produce to you a vial  
9 of Actrapid insulin that was obtained from the Countess  
10 of Chester Hospital?

11

(Handed)

12

A. Yes.

13

Q. I'd quite like to hand it round the jury in a moment, please, my Lord. That on its face, I think, appears to be a 10ml bottle; is that right?

16

A. Yes. It's 100 units in 1ml and these are the standard 10ml vials.

18

Q. And just so the jury can have this in mind when they look at it, normally the bottle would be capped with what is within the bag as an orange -- yellowy-orange cap; is that right?

22

A. That's correct. It's in the bag itself, it's not attached.

24

Q. The reason it's been removed is because if one looks under the cap, one sees in effect a self-sealing cap:

1           is that right?

2       A. Yes. It's a latex bung, essentially.

3       Q. And if a medical professional wanting to extract -- how  
4           would a medical professional extract insulin from that  
5           bottle?

6       A. You would need a needle and syringe, and if you're using  
7           it therapeutically you would use an insulin -- a syringe  
8           graded to allow for an accurate dose, the drawing up of  
9           the insulin, because this is quite concentrated, this is  
10           100 units per ml and we would probably -- we would be  
11           talking perhaps in ourselves of perhaps using no more  
12           than about 2 or 3 units given subcutaneously, or 5 units  
13           perhaps.

14           So you'd need a very accurate insulin syringe to --  
15           if you wished to dose therapeutically. Then you would  
16           have to add a needle to that, put the needle through the  
17           resealable latex bung, draw up the desired amount, and  
18           withdraw the needle and syringe.

19       Q. When you say using it therapeutically, do you mean using  
20           it legitimately for legitimate treatment?

21       A. Yes, a prescribed insulin dose.

22       Q. Yes. And that would have to be measured very, very  
23           carefully?

24       A. It would.

25       MR JOHNSON: I wonder whether the jury could see the bottle,

1

2

1 please.

2 MR MYERS: I wonder if I could take a look first, my Lord.

3 Thank you.

4 (Pause)

5 MR JOHNSON: Professor, what I'm going to do now, if I may

6 is deal with how this exogenous insulin was administered

7 and then I will ask you ultimately how much of this went

8 into the liquid that was being administered, so the jury

9 know where I 'm going.

10                   Before I do that, can I formally exhibit this bottle  
11                   and packaging, my Lord, please?

12 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Yes.

13 MR. JOHNSON: I'm told I didn't make it entirely clear

14 through you, professor. The needle attached to the

15 syringe goes through the latex bung, and when it's

16 withdrawn the bottle self-seals in effect; is that the

17 position?

18 A. That's correct, yes.

19 O. We can see for ourselves how much liquid is in there and

20 we'll turn in due course to how much was removed.

21 Did you consider, in the light of the evidence that

22 was available, how insulin was administered to [Baby F1?

23 A. I did. I think probably what we should say right at the

outset is that it is not possible to give insulin by

25 mouth, by the oral route, because it's a large molecule.

1

2

1 so it can't be absorbed very easily. And the second  
2 thing is that it is -- because it's a protein, it would  
3 be broken down or damaged by the acid in the stomach.  
4 So we're not talking about any form of oral  
5 administration or administration through a nasogastric  
6 tube, for example. We are talking about the  
7 administration of insulin either by the intravenous  
8 route or by subcutaneous administration, under the skin.

9 I'll deal with the subcutaneous route, if I may,  
10 first of all. In my report, and also in one of the  
11 exhibits I provided, I give the time course of insulin.  
12 That's figure 2, my Lord, in my report. But the point  
13 about the subcutaneous route is that with a duration of  
14 action of 4 to 6 hours, and over the period that we've  
15 documented of some 17 hours of hypoglycaemia, that would  
16 require multiple subcutaneous injections, as I say  
17 roughly every 4 to 6 hours.

18 Q. And the first one would have been at what time?

19 A. To get that effect you'd probably have to do that almost  
20 at the same time as you set up the total parenteral  
21 nutrition bag. The argument against that is there would  
22 be quite few injections and also it would be then  
23 difficult to start to explain why you had such a quick  
24 return towards normal blood glucose, particularly as you  
25 can see in the chart that was sent round that when the

1

2

1       TPN, the total parenteral nutrition, stopped at 18.55,  
2       we almost had an almost instantaneous rise to 2.5. But  
3       by 21.17 hours we had achieved normoglycaemia, whereas  
4       if we had been relying on subcutaneous injections, we  
5       wouldn't have seen such a rapid response in terms of the  
6       blood glucose, which would imply that probably an  
7       intravenous route is the most likely explanation.

8       Q. So for that reason, dealing with the intravenous route  
9       as being, in your opinion, the route by which this  
10       insulin was administered, how was it done?

11      A. So intravenously there's two ways of doing it. The  
12       first would be to give bolus injections of insulin. And  
13       we know. When we do this in certain tests that we do in  
14       endocrinology. That hypoglycaemia will occur 20 to  
15       30 minutes after the bolus injection. If you don't do  
16       anything else, the blood glucose will then start to rise  
17       back up again and be normal some 60 to 90 minutes after  
18       the bolus injection. So what you would need to do in  
19       this situation to maintain hypoglycaemia over such  
20       a protracted period of time is that you'd have to  
21       undertake multiple intravenous injections roughly every  
22       2 hours.

23           Might I continue, my Lord?

24      MR JUSTICE GOSS: Please do, yes. Don't worry about  
25       watching my pen because I'm taking notes, but I'm

1 listening as well. Just carry on. If I ask you to  
2 pause -- if I need you to pause, I'll ask you to pause.  
3 Otherwise you carry on. You're speaking slowly and  
4 clearly and we're all picking this up, I'm sure.

5 A. So the second way of administering insulin intravenously  
6 is through an infusion. I think that this is probably  
7 the most likely way of achieving the blood glucose  
8 effects that we've observed. It would be a continuous  
9 infusion, using the bags of fluid that were available.  
10 It would fit nicely with the time course of events when  
11 the fluids were discontinued for re-siting the cannula  
12 at 10.00 hours on 5 August and would also be consistent  
13 with the events or measurements that took place after  
14 the total parenteral nutrition was stopped at  
15 18.55 hours.

16 Those two points, but particularly the 18.55 hours  
17 one, fit from calculations I undertook. Assuming that  
18 the insulin was present in a steady state, at  
19 discontinuation of the TPN, for example at 18.55, that  
20 would be consistent with the disappearance of insulin  
21 from the circulation.

22 So if you had a concentration of 4,657 picomoles per  
23 litre at 18.55, when your total parenteral nutrition is  
24 switched off, then 32 minutes later -- sorry about the  
25 numbers because that's because of the half-life of

1

2

1           insulin, which is 4 minutes -- 32 minutes later  
2           there would only be 18 picomoles per litre, which is  
3           a normal fasting plasma insulin concentration. So that  
4           we could be sure that by the time we got to 19.30 hours,  
5           after the discontinuation of the infusions at 18.55,  
6           there would be almost no insulin in the circulation --  
7           perhaps I should qualify that: there would be no  
8           exogenous insulin present in the circulation by  
9           19.30 hours.

10           And because of the way in which insulin is removed  
11           so quickly from the circulation, it also means that the  
12           effect of the insulin on the cells to produce  
13           hypoglycaemia would be terminated fairly rapidly after  
14           that, so the rise of the blood glucose to 4.1 at  
15           21.17 hours is entirely consistent with that -- with the  
16           pharmacology.

17           Q. Did you calculate from the blood sugar results the rate  
18           at which insulin was being -- exogenous insulin was  
19           being administered to [Baby F]?

20           A. I did, and to maintain a steady state insulin  
21           concentration of 4,657 picomoles per litre, we would  
22           need an insulin infusion rate of approximately 1.18 or  
23           1.2 units per hour. And from that, we could add on some  
24           slight amounts to deal with adhesiveness of insulin to  
25           plastic in the infusion bags or in the giving sets, the

1

2

1 cannulas, but that's only going to be about 10% or 15%.

2 If we say 1.2 units per hour would be the infusion  
3 rate you would need to deliver to get a plasma insulin  
4 concentration of 4,657 picomoles per litre then it's  
5 going to be in the region of about 1.2 milliunits --  
6 units per hour.

7 Q. So that from your -- 1.2 units per hour is what he was  
8 receiving from your calculations.

9 What I'd like to do is just look at J3151, please,  
10 which is the prescription of insulin to [Baby F] between  
11 03.40 and 06.20 hours on 31 July. So comparing what  
12 he was given as treatment to what he was receiving on  
13 5 August.

14 If you look on the screen, professor, you see there  
15 under the "dose" row, the prescription for insulin,  
16 which lasted 5 hours and 40 minutes, was of  
17 0.05 units/kg/hour. Is that right?

18 A. That's correct. So that would be -- I can't do this in  
19 my head, so... So that's 0.07 units per hour, given  
20 he was 1.45 kilograms at that stage.

21 Q. So in general terms, 1.2 is about 18 times or so the  
22 prescribed amount, give or take?

23 A. Give or take, yes.

24 Q. Well, 20 times would be 1.4, wouldn't it?

25 A. Yes.

1

2

1 Q. Seventeen times, give or take.

2 A. I should point out, my Lord, that the infusion rates  
3 that you see on that chart are totally appropriate and  
4 exactly what we would use in standard care.

5 Q. Yes. So what we see on the screen now?

6 A. Yes. So that idea of 0.05 units per kilogram body  
7 weight per -- is the sort of number we would be going  
8 for.

9 MR JUSTICE GOSS: So that's the appropriate therapeutic  
10 dose?

11 A. Yes, to maintain a normal blood glucose.

12 MR JOHNSON: I'll come to in a moment the change in the bag,  
13 but just so that the jury know I'm going to deal with  
14 that point.

15 So having worked out how much [Baby F] was  
16 receiving, did that enable you to calculate the amount  
17 of insulin that must have been put into the TPN bag from  
18 which he was being treated?

19 A. Yes. I mean, that is -- it has been possible to do  
20 that. I came out for a -- for a bag lasting 24 hours,  
21 that would be about 28 units. Then I adjusted a little  
22 bit for the adhesiveness of insulin to plastic and  
23 allowed myself another 10 or 15%, which I think came out  
24 at then approximately 30 units. That would be the sort  
25 of amount that might be added.

1

2

1 Q. For a two-day bag, we have heard these bags are designed  
2 to run for 2 days --

3 A. Yes, then I would double that to 60.

4 Q. So 60. In terms of quantity, so that's units, we've  
5 heard that 10ml is 1,000 units. How much liquid needs  
6 to go into the bag to equate to the 60-odd units which  
7 was the concentration of the fluid being administered to  
8 [Baby F]?

9 A. So you'd need 0.6ml.

10 Q. So just over one half of 1 millilitre of liquid needs to  
11 be added to the TPN bag to deliver the rate of insulin  
12 that you have calculated [Baby F] was receiving?

13 A. Mm.

14 Q. We've seen for ourselves what Actrapid insulin looks  
15 like. It's a clear fluid. Going into a bag of TPN,  
16 would it be visible to the naked eye?

17 A. No, not at all, and I'd say clearly with those volumes  
18 you wouldn't notice a change in the shape or size of the  
19 bag.

20 Q. Drawing a line across your table as to when the fluids  
21 were stopped, we have heard evidence that a stock bag  
22 was taken and used once the long line was re-sited.  
23 Just so that you understand the evidence, the initial  
24 bag hung just after midnight was a bespoke bag in the  
25 name of [Baby F]. And the evidence suggests that

1

2

1       once the line was re-sited, to maintain the sterility of  
2       the process, a stock bag was taken.

3           Looking at the readings on your table, would it  
4       follow that the stock bag must have been contaminated as  
5       well?

6       A. Yes, it looks -- yes, it would imply that, yes, if that  
7       was the sequence of events.

8       Q. Yes. And if that was the case, looking at the blood  
9       glucose measurements, would it also follow that the  
10       stock bag was contaminated to more or less the same  
11       degree as the bespoke bag?

12      A. I think that is not an unreasonable comment to make. We  
13       know that there is a reasonable dose response curve  
14       between insulin dose and effect. And with the exception  
15       of the 2.9 millimoles per litre that we had our  
16       attention drawn to at 05.00 hours, the glucose  
17       concentrations are not much different in the period of  
18       time from the 01.54 hours through to 10.00 hours when  
19       things were changed compared to that period of time from  
20       12.00 hours through to the last measurement, which was  
21       undertaken at 18.00 hours.

22           So I think it's probably reasonable to say that they  
23       are -- the contents are probably about the same.

24       Q. The level of contamination is?

25      A. Sorry, yes.

1

2

1 Q. And thus did you conclude that the explanation for  
2 [Baby F]'s clinical presentation from just after  
3 midnight on 5 August to the early evening of the same  
4 day was explicable, and only reasonably explicable, by  
5 the fact that the fluid he was receiving had been  
6 contaminated with insulin?

7 A. Yes, I do.

8 MR JOHNSON: Thank you. It may be that there are some  
9 further questions for you, professor.

10 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Yes.

11 MR MYERS: There are further questions. It's been quite  
12 dense. That's not meant to be rude to  
13 Professor Hindmarsh at all, I just wonder whether --  
14 of course I forget the timings we're working to.

15 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I don't know how long you think you are  
16 likely to be, Mr Myers, but you'll recall I was planning  
17 on breaking off at half past, having an appropriate  
18 length of break, depending on how long you are likely to  
19 be, because there is no witness after  
20 Professor Hindmarsh -- well, there's one. How long will  
21 that witness be?

22 MR ASTBURY: Not very long: 25/30 minutes, we anticipate.

23 MR MYERS: I wonder whether that would be an appropriate  
24 time to take a break. We would be stopping in about  
25 15 minutes in any event. Then we can go through to the

1 conclusion. I will probably be about 40 minutes,  
2 45 minutes, maybe a little more, with  
3 Professor Hindmarsh, but I wouldn't expect to be much  
4 more than that.

5 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Can we have a half-hour break then?

6 MR MYERS: I'm fine with that then if your Lordship and  
7 everybody else is.

8 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I know this is really messing around with  
9 the formal arrangements but --

10 MR MYERS: It seems, if I may say, the natural place to take  
11 the break now (overspeaking) --

12 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Absolutely. No, I'm not against the  
13 principle of it.

14 MR MYERS: Thank you.

15 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I'm just trying to ensure that by 2.30  
16 we have completed what we are scheduled to do.

17 MR MYERS: We'll certainly --

18 MR JUSTICE GOSS: That will give you an hour and  
19 three-quarters between you.

20 MR MYERS: We'll certainly have completed  
21 Professor Hindmarsh by then, I anticipate.

22 MR JUSTICE GOSS: All right. Sorry about this, normally  
23 we'd go on to 1 o'clock, but circumstances are different  
24 today. I apologise to everyone for the shortness of the  
25 break to get some refreshment and then we'll continue at

1

2

1 12.45.

2 Thank you very much indeed.

3 (In the absence of the jury)

4 MR MYERS: My Lord, I wonder if Ms Letby could be shown the  
5 exhibit that we looked at.

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Certainly. She has a copy, I think.

7 MR MYERS: No, the vial.

8 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Sorry, I beg your pardon.

9 MR MYERS: If it could be handed over through the glass  
10 maybe.

11 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Yes.

12 (Pause)

13 Mr Johnson, I didn't say anything to  
14 Professor Hindmarsh about not speaking to anyone about  
15 his evidence. I didn't think that in the 30 minutes  
16 available -- and it's essentially unique, it's  
17 self-contained evidence, but if someone -- I don't know  
18 who's looking after him.19 MR JOHNSON: I don't think anyone is going to be giving him  
20 lessons on endocrinology.21 MR JUSTICE GOSS: No, exactly. That's why I didn't do it.  
22 All right, thank you.23 Is that all right? Have you seen it now, Ms Letby?  
24 Good, thank you very much.

25 (12.16 pm)

1

2

## 1 (The short adjournment)

2 (12.45 pm)

3 MR MYERS: My Lord, it should be a little swifter than  
4 I anticipated. As ever, having time normally leads to  
5 being able to save time.

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Not a problem, Mr Myers. You're under no  
7 pressure of time and if we don't complete the other  
8 witness this afternoon, so be it.

9 MR MYERS: Very well, thank you.

10 (In the presence of the jury).

11 Cross-examination by MR MYERS

12 MR MYERS: Professor Hindmarsh, could I just ask you  
13 a couple of questions about insulin in general before  
14 I go to some of the detail you have given us.

15                   If a quantity of insulin in the form of Actrapid was  
16                   introduced into a clear solution, would that be visible  
17                   or would it not be visible?

18 A. It would not be visible.

19 Q. Does insulin, and I'm thinking about the form of

20                   Actrapid at the moment, have quite a distinctive smell  
21                   if it's spilt or exposed to the air?

22 A. It does, because of the preservatives that are within  
23 it, which is -- it is usually the cresol component that  
24 gives it the distinctive smell.

25 O. Thank you. I'm just going to ask you something about

1 the effects of a high concentration of insulin,  
2 something you that told us about in your evidence. You  
3 explained, Professor Hindmarsh, that in high  
4 concentrations, over a period of time, there can be very  
5 serious consequences if the body is dealing with an  
6 artificially high level of insulin; that's correct,  
7 isn't it?

8 A. That's correct, yes.

9 Q. You described once one moves beyond the initial  
10 cognitive impact, there can be seizures, there can be  
11 the death of brain cells, it could induce coma or indeed  
12 there could be death?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. I hope I have understood this: to reach its full effect,  
15 you can calculate the half-life to see when the insulin  
16 in effect is having a full effect on the system that  
17 it is being introduced into; is that correct? I might  
18 have simplified that rather than a lot.

19 A. You've done a good job, but not quite. The half-life  
20 describes how quickly the body removes a drug or  
21 something from the body, whereas I think what you're  
22 alluding to is how quickly does it get into the  
23 circulation and have an effect --

24 Q. Right.

25 A. -- which is more about the absorption characteristics

1

2

1           from whatever site you choose to use to administer.

2       Q. And how quick would that be?

3       A. So if you give a bolus intravenously, you can see an  
4           effect on blood glucose within 10 minutes and then you  
5           would register a blood glucose below 2.6/2.5 millimoles  
6           per litre 20 to 30 minutes after the bolus injection was  
7           administered.

8       Q. We know that in the case of [Baby F] -- sorry?

9       A. Do you want me to elaborate further on what you might  
10           see if you give it as an infusion or are you happy with  
11           that?

12      Q. By all means do because that's the way you regard this  
13           to have taken effect.

14      A. Yes. If you are going to infuse insulin then you have  
15           to allow for six half-lives to pass and the half-life of  
16           insulin is 4 minutes. So you would reach a steady state  
17           after 24 minutes. So it's not too dissimilar from an  
18           intravenous bolus, in fact.

19      Q. Probably rather clumsily, that was where I was going to.  
20           It would be about 25 minutes, or something like that, to  
21           begin to have its effect, would that be right?

22      A. It's probably having an effect but it's probably  
23           starting to have its maximum effect at about 25 minutes  
24           later, yes.

25      Q. We know that in the period before [Baby F] was first

1

2

1 given any dextrose to deal with what had happened,  
2 he was recorded as having a vomit and an increased heart  
3 rate, he became tachycardic. As matters followed in the  
4 hours that come after that, fortunately no further  
5 adverse physical effects were identified. So what I'm  
6 asking, and it's something that comes to mind given what  
7 you have said, is whether that is consistent with such  
8 a huge dose of insulin or whether one might have  
9 expected there to be more powerful physical consequences  
10 with the concentration you're telling us about?

11 A. What has been recorded was the rise in heart rate and  
12 I think that is consistent with the secretion or release  
13 of adrenaline, which is your first line of defence  
14 against a low blood glucose. So the hierarchy is you  
15 start off with adrenaline, then glucagon. That gets you  
16 sorted out hopefully in the space of minutes to hours.  
17 And then your next line of defence is called solon(?)  
18 growth hormone, which would probably not be having much  
19 of an effect until about a couple of hours into the  
20 event.

21 The vomiting, I think, would be consistent with what  
22 we do see occasionally -- well, not occasionally -- we  
23 do see in young people who become hypoglycaemic because  
24 they have got diabetes. Vomiting isn't an unusual  
25 feature of that.

1

2

1           In terms of the magnitude of the responses, I think  
2           what we would then be predominantly observing -- because  
3           the heart rate is probably at its maximal, it probably  
4           can't go much more than that. What you're then going to  
5           see are probably more the effects of glucose itself on  
6           brain function rather than any other peripheral  
7           manifestations.

8           So normally, if we reduced our blood glucose, we'd  
9           have that increase in heart rate, we'd feel a bit  
10           clammy, we might be sweating. Those would be the kind  
11           of cardinal features that we would see. They are not as  
12           easy to pick up in the newborn and even less easy to  
13           pick up in a preterm individual.

14           So those kind of classic responses to that, to  
15           a change of glucose, are not so easy to define --  
16           neurologically, that's different.

17       Q. But looking at the physical manifestations, as he  
18           presented clinically, if it is the case that there was  
19           such a high concentration over a seventeen-hour period,  
20           is that in any way inconsistent with the physical  
21           presentation not being any more extreme given what can  
22           happen with high doses of insulin?

23       A. I think it is extremely variable, the responses that you  
24           get to hypoglycaemia. The first presentation could well  
25           be and often is collapse and seizure. What we don't

1 know very well is what is the duration between this  
2 event starting and you manifesting with neurological  
3 changes. We simply don't understand that.

4 What appears to be as important, at least from -- if  
5 I may use the results from animal studies, is that  
6 duration of hypoglycaemia, not necessarily the severity,  
7 is an important factor in determining (a) how you  
8 manifest and (b) what the neurological outcome will be  
9 in the longer term.

10 Q. We know that the allegation here, the way it is  
11 presented, is this is over a seventeen-hour period,  
12 maybe with a break part-way through it between 11 and  
13 12 o'clock, but a seventeen-hour period of exposure to  
14 a very high level of insulin. So as one would look at  
15 this generally, Professor Hindmarsh, is it not  
16 surprising there wasn't a more profound physical impact  
17 at that time given what we know follows from high levels  
18 of insulin?

19 A. I don't think so. I think we can see such high levels  
20 of insulin in babies who are born with congenital  
21 hyperinsulinism, who may appear to be well up until the  
22 point of collapse.

23 Q. In terms of assessing the level of insulin that was  
24 present, we know that was done by means of an analysis  
25 conducted at a laboratory away from the hospital.

1                   Blood glucose alone can't tell us what the level of  
2                   insulin is, it can't give us the picomole figure, can  
3                   it?

4           A. No.

5           Q. Nor can blood glucose alone give us the ratio of insulin  
6                   to C-peptide, can it?

7           A. No, that's correct. Blood glucose can tell us what we  
8                   might expect the insulin-producing cells in the pancreas  
9                   to be doing in response to a changing blood glucose, but  
10                  you're right in the sense that it doesn't give us  
11                  a measure of what's happening.

12           Q. All right. I just want to, with your assistance, to  
13                  look at another issue that arose during the course of  
14                  your evidence, Professor Hindmarsh. I'm going to be  
15                  making reference to the table that you prepared and  
16                  we've all got copies of, with one or two items on the  
17                  screens.

18                  You were asked to take a look at the intensive care  
19                  chart that we've got at slide 200, so I'll ask to put  
20                  that up. We've got the tables to hand, but let's look  
21                  at the screens, at the intensive care chart at  
22                  slide 200. And if we go behind that, please.

23                  Let's look at the chart. It was that reading that  
24                  we've got in your table for 05.00. We'll just remind  
25                  ourselves what we have there. I'll ask for Mr Murphy's

1

2

1 assistance.

2 We can see there at 05.00, it's quite visible, the  
3 reading of 2.9 for blood sugar. Do you see that?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Your attention was simply drawn, or our attention was  
6 drawn, to the initials that go with that. So I just  
7 remind us of what was raised with you.8 Of course, 2.9 would place the blood glucose in the  
9 normal range, wouldn't it? Would it? I say it would,  
10 you tell us.

11 A. The normal range for blood glucose is 3.5 to 7.

12 Q. So this is still low in fact but it's higher than it had  
13 been; that's the point?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. All right. Not in the normal range, but higher. Well,  
16 can we come out of that, please, and just looking at  
17 your table, I want to look at a couple of other items.  
18 Forgive me for using your assistance to simply go  
19 through what we can see here, but just to remind  
20 ourselves, we can see at 01.54 a reading of 0.8, which  
21 is very low, isn't it, Professor Hindmarsh?

22 A. It is.

23 Q. All right. Then at 02.55, we've got a reading of 2.3,  
24 which is a significant increase --

25 A. Yes.

1

2

1 Q. -- from 0.8, isn't it?

2 A. It is.

3 Q. I'm going to ask if we could have a look at the blood  
4 gas chart at slide 139, just to see that figure  
5 recorded.

6 I apologise for doing this through you,  
7 Professor Hindmarsh, it's really looking at the tables  
8 rather than asking for your expertise, but since we did  
9 this with you beforehand let's just follow this through.

10 If we scroll down, please, to the bottom of that  
11 chart we can see there on the bottom row a figure of 2.3  
12 at 02.55.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. You can see that, Professor Hindmarsh?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And we can note the initials there, which are not the  
17 same as the initials with the 2.9 figure, are they?

18 We can all see that; I don't ask you to comment on it.

19 MR JUSTICE GOSS: You're not a handwriting expert, but you  
20 don't have to be to see it.

21 MR MYERS: No, thank you. I won't say more about the  
22 initials but there we are, I've drawn attention to that.

23 We can see there, Professor Hindmarsh, within just  
24 over an hour there's been an increase of 1.5 in those --  
25 in fact in about 50 minutes, hasn't there?

1

2

1       A. That's right, yes.

2       Q. So an increase of 1.5. Now, I'd just like to look at  
3                   something that happens in that period. Can we look  
4                   at the intravenous infusion chart, please, at slide 191.

5                   Ladies and gentlemen, we're looking in between 01.54  
6                   and 02.55 on the table.

7                   We're going to go to the intravenous infusion chart  
8                   at slide 191, please, Mr Murphy.

9                   I would like us to, about four lines down, just  
10                  enlarge what we can see for an entry timed 02.05. It's  
11                  about the fourth line down. If we could highlight that,  
12                  that would be helpful, so we all know we're looking at  
13                  exactly the same thing.

14                  This is 5 August, 10% dextrose, reading across,  
15                  intravenous, and then there are some signatures.

16                  Can you see that, Professor Hindmarsh?

17        A. Yes, I can see that.

18        Q. We can see for "time and date started", it's got 02.05.

19        A. Yes.

20        Q. And a date of 5/8/15?

21        A. Yes.

22        Q. I'm not going to ask you to try to interpret those  
23                  signatures.

24                  If we hold that in our minds and look back at the  
25                  table, that means between 1.54 and 2.55, in fact at

1

2

1       02.05, there has been a 10% dextrose given, hasn't  
2       there, intravenously?

3       A. That's what's charted, that's right.

4       Q. If anyone wants to make a record of that between those  
5       two readings on the table, between 01.54 and 02.55 we  
6       have 10% dextrose at 02.05 at slide 191.

7           We can certainly see, if that's correct,  
8           Professor Hindmarsh, that the reading at 02.55 of 2.3  
9           has followed, by about 50 minutes, the 10% dextrose  
10          being given, hasn't it?

11       A. That's right.

12       Q. All right. If we carry on down that chart in a similar  
13          way, we can see on your table first that 04.02 -- keep  
14          the infusion chart on the screens. In your table at  
15          04.02, of course insulin -- glucose, blood glucose, has  
16          begun to drop again, hasn't it?

17       A. That's right.

18       Q. It's down to 1.9 then?

19       A. Yes.

20       Q. We've had attention drawn to the reading at 05.00 of  
21          2.9. But I wonder if you could pull out on the infusion  
22          chart, Mr Murphy, and drop a few lines down from where  
23          we are at the moment. Just where it's got the second up  
24          from the bottom as we have it at the moment, that's the  
25          one. Just enlarge that.

1

2

1           Again, I appreciate I'm simply asking you to read  
2           what it is we can see on the screen,  
3           Professor Hindmarsh, but we then have on 5 August, timed  
4           04.20, with two signatures, a 10% bolus of dextrose,  
5           don't we?

6       A. Yes, same as before.

7       Q. Same as before. So I simply identify, it can be marked  
8           on our tables if you find it helpful, ladies and  
9           gentlemen, that between 04.02 and 05.00 there is 10%  
10           dextrose at 04.20 and that's on slide 191.

11           None of that, professor, is to cast any further  
12           challenge or question upon what you say, but it's so  
13           we have those additional figures on your chart.

14       A. Mm-hm.

15       Q. Thank you.

16           We can see therefore that between the reading of 1.9  
17           on your table at 04.02 and the increase of a factor of 1  
18           to 5 o'clock there's been a 10% dextrose bolus  
19           administered.

20       A. Yes.

21       Q. All right, thank you. We can take that down, Mr Murphy,  
22           thank you.

23           I'd just like to turn to the issue of the level of  
24           contamination across the period that we are looking at,  
25           Professor Hindmarsh, which was the last matter you dealt

1

2

1 with in cross-examination.

2           Working on the sample taken at 17.56, with the  
3           insulin reading of 4,657 picomoles per litre, if that  
4           applies across the whole period, was it your view that  
5           there must have been a half a millilitre of insulin  
6           added to the TPN bag or bags that were used, 0.6ml?

7       A. It depends whether the bags are going for 24 hours or  
8           48 hours. So I think we concluded that it would be  
9           0.6ml if it was for 48 hours.

10     Q. All right. Again, just starting from a fixed point, we  
11           know the sample was taken at 17.56 on 5 August; that's  
12           correct, isn't it?

13     A. Yes, that's the date stamp.

14     Q. Which is, as we know, nearly 17 hours after the first  
15           bag was put up at 00.25 hours. Simple maths.

16     A. Mm.

17     Q. Yes. Now, in fact, pausing there, that reading of  
18           4,657 picomoles in fact only applies to the second bag,  
19           doesn't it, if there are in fact two bags, which appears  
20           to be the case? That reading came from the second bag,  
21           didn't it?

22     A. It did, yes.

23     Q. And the analysis is on that. That won't tell us in fact  
24           what the insulin level was in a bag that was put up --  
25           a separate bag put up at 00.25, will it?

1 A. No, it won't, because we didn't measure that.

2 Q. No. And nor will it tell us what the insulin/C-peptide  
3 rate was -- ratio was, for any bag that was put up at  
4 00.25, will it?

5 A. Well, we haven't measured that, so, no, it won't.

6 MR MYERS: Those are my questions, my Lord. Thank you,  
7 Professor Hindmarsh.

8 Re-examination by MR JOHNSON

9 MR JOHNSON: Just on that final issue, professor, would it  
10 be reasonable to assume that the rates of insulin in the  
11 body of a single person taken within 17 hours or  
12 17.5 hours -- I'm probably coming at this the wrong way.  
13 Can we start with your chart, sorry? It might make my  
14 question a bit easier to understand.

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Am I right so far?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Would it be reasonable to infer that, given that we're  
25 dealing with the same person, in other words

1

2

1 [Baby F], and dealing with him within the same  
2 period of time, ie within the same day, that if he had  
3 similar blood glucose levels he's likely to have had  
4 similar insulin levels? In other words, looking at your  
5 chart, if one draws a line across the middle of it,  
6 which is when the bag was changed, given that the  
7 average blood glucose level before the change is about  
8 1.9 and the average after is about that, give or take?

9 A. Yes, I think we've got -- the caveat is that there have  
10 been some attempts to raise the blood glucose during  
11 this period of time. What we know is that overall, the  
12 glucose infusion rate has essentially stayed the same  
13 throughout the course of this event of the 12 milligrams  
14 per kilogram per minute calculated from the TPN and the  
15 infusion. As I said earlier on, I can't be absolutely  
16 sure because it's not so easy to do it, the contribution  
17 from the boluses. But I think we could be safe to  
18 assume that the glucose infusion rate did not change,  
19 which would imply from the insulin/glucose dose-response  
20 curves that the amount of insulin around would be  
21 similar throughout the seventeen-hour period, allowing  
22 for the breaks from when infusions were discontinued.

23 Q. So even though the lab blood measurement was taken after  
24 the line was re-sited, given the readings taken before  
25 and after the re-site, it would be reasonable to infer

1           that the glucose level -- that the insulin level  
2           remained generally the same?

3       A. I think that would be my conclusion, yes.

4       MR JOHNSON: Thank you. Does your Lordship have any  
5           questions?

6       MR JUSTICE GOSS: No, I don't, thank you very much.

7           That completes your evidence, Professor Hindmarsh.  
8           Thank you very much for coming and giving it. You are  
9           free to go.

10      A. Thank you, my Lord.

11      MR JOHNSON: Professor Hindmarsh will return for  
12           [Baby L].

13      MR JUSTICE GOSS: Yes, you'll be coming back some time  
14           later. I'm not sure whether that will be this year or  
15           next year.

16      MR MYERS: My Lord, there is one -- I appreciate my  
17           cross-examination has finished. One apparent matter  
18           I would like to confirm in light of an earlier answer  
19           that Professor Hindmarsh gave and what he's just said in  
20           answer to questions.

21      MR JUSTICE GOSS: By all means.

22           Further cross-examination by MR MYERS  
23      MR MYERS: I asked you early in my questioning whether blood  
24           glucose is a measurement for insulin or the ratio of  
25           insulin and C-peptide and you said it wasn't. So my

1

2

1 question is: if all we have is blood glucose before  
2 12.00, because it's not the sample, how can you rely  
3 upon that to say the rate is the same?

4 A. So there are two components there, if I may take them.

5 The first is, you are correct, that a measurement of  
6 blood glucose is not a measurement of insulin or  
7 C-peptide. That's kind of a given and that's what I was  
8 rather implying.

9 What we do know, though, is that there are clear  
10 dose-response relationships between the amount of  
11 insulin around and what the blood glucose might be  
12 expected to be. That's the point I was making just now.

13 So you are correct, yes, it doesn't -- it's not that  
14 if you've got a glucose of 2 that means that insulin  
15 must be whatever. It doesn't do -- that's not the  
16 situation because glucose is different from insulin.

17 What we're talking about, and perhaps I didn't make that  
18 absolutely clear in my response, was that we're dealing  
19 with the relationship between insulin and glucose in  
20 terms of the dose response rather than glucose being an  
21 absolute reflection of what the plasma insulin or  
22 C-peptide concentration is. I hope that's not made it  
23 more unclear than perhaps it was.

24 Q. Can I just ask this to confirm it so it's absolutely  
25 clear on this? Can we work out what the level of

1                   insulin was or the relationship, the ratio, between  
2                   insulin and C-peptide at, let us say, 3 o'clock in the  
3                   morning from the analysis that was taken from the sample  
4                   from a different bag at 17.56?

5       A. I think we probably can in the sense -- because the  
6                   glucose delivery throughout the period of time that  
7                   we're discussing, the seventeen-hour period, in terms of  
8                   the infusion, is a dose of 12 milligrams per kilogram  
9                   per minute, and that would imply that that was obtained  
10                  by a certain ambient plasma insulin concentration. And  
11                  we know that in the afternoon it was 4,657, and it would  
12                  be reasonable to assume that given that nothing had  
13                  changed in terms of the glucose infusion rate, the  
14                  actual amount of insulin was similar at that time  
15                  period.

16       MR MYERS: Thank you for letting me ask those questions,  
17                  my Lord.

18       MR JUSTICE GOSS: Not at all.

19       MR MYERS: Thank you, Professor Hindmarsh.

20       MR JUSTICE GOSS: Thank you. That is the end of your  
21                  evidence at this stage. But as I have just said,  
22                  you will be returning, so please do not talk to anyone  
23                  about anything to do with this case so far as the  
24                  evidence is concerned.

25       A. Yes.

1 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Don't seek out any evidence that is given  
2 between now and the next time you come to give evidence.

3 You probably have enough things to be getting on with  
4 without reading about this in any source --

5 A. Yes.

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: -- but please don't. Thank you very much  
7 indeed.

8        A. Thank you very much, my Lord.

9 (The witness withdrew)

10 MR ASTBURY: My Lord, may I recall Dr David Harkness,  
11 please?

12 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Yes, certainly.

13 DR DAVID HARKNESS (recalled)

14 Examination-in-chief by MR ASTBURY

15 MR ASTBURY: Could we begin by you stating your name for the  
16 record, please.

17 A. It's Dr David Ian Harkness.

18 Q. Dr Harkness, we've heard from you before, we know you  
19 were employed during the summer of 2015 at the Countess  
20 of Chester Hospital as a paediatric registrar and we  
21 heard last week about a night shift that you completed  
22 between the 3rd into 4 August 2015 and the death of  
23 [Baby E].

24 I would like to ask you, please, about your  
25 following night, the 4th into the 5th, and your

1

2

1           treatment of [Baby E]'s twin brother, [Baby F]. Were you  
2           accompanied on that night shift, as you were the night  
3           before, by Dr Wood?

4           A. I believe so, yes.

5           Q. The notes suggest that you saw [Baby E] on three  
6           occasions -- sorry, [Baby F], I do apologise. I wonder  
7           if we could go straight, please, to tile 161. Scroll  
8           down.

9           We can see a note dated 5 August 2015, timed at  
10           01.30. Correct me if I'm wrong, I don't think that's  
11           your handwriting, is it?

12           A. No.

13           Q. Whose handwriting will that be?

14           A. I think that's Dr Chris Wood's.

15           Q. Could we go through the note, please. "RV"?

16           A. That's review.

17           Q. Your name?

18           A. And myself, yes.

19           Q. If we can see the note in its entirety, please, scroll  
20           down a little more so you can familiarise yourself with  
21           it, please, doctor.

22           A. Yes.

23           Q. If we can go to the top again. It begins:  
24                            "Multiple small milky vomits."

25                            Is that right?

1

2

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. "Plus 9ml milky aspirate."

3 Do you recall whether that's something you saw or  
4 something you were told?

5 A. I can't remember.

6 Q. Okay. There's a note that [Baby F] was tachycardic  
7 at -- is that around --

8 A. Yes, 200 beats per minute, yes.

9 Q. And he was settled and there are ticks, correct me if  
10 I'm wrong, next to "bowels opened" and "passed urine"?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. We then have what we're becoming used to, a diagram of  
13 a stomach (inaudible: coughing). Tell us please what's  
14 noted there?15 A. "SNT", soft and not tender. "Not distended", so looks  
16 like a normal tummy. His bowel sounds were present, so  
17 his bowels are working.

18 Q. Okay. Does that suggest an examination?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. By you or Dr Wood can you remember?

21 A. By myself that will be.

22 Q. Again another diagram that we're becoming used to --  
23 I think they're lungs on the right?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And the arrow tells us?

2

1 A. That tells us that there's no problems on the lungs,  
2 that the air entry is good, both sides, with no crackles  
3 or wheeze or anything like that.

4 Q. It indicates the chest is clear; is that right?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Are you able to read the next line to us, please?

7 A. "Soft continuous murmur."

8 Q. What does that mean, please?

9 A. That's a whooshing sound that you get in the heart  
10 that is very common in premature babies. The most  
11 common cause is just what we call an innocent murmur,  
12 which changes as they get older. It is to do with  
13 increased blood flow through different parts of the  
14 heart. It can mean there's a hole in the heart or it  
15 can mean there's a little tube that's meant to close  
16 when you're born that hasn't, which if it's continuous  
17 it tends to be, but in most cases of one of those the  
18 close by themselves spontaneously over time.

19 But what I have then written is "femorals ++" which  
20 is the femoral pulses. If there's a problem with this  
21 little tube that stays open the pulses are really,  
22 really strong and quite different to what you'd expect  
23 so if I thought that that was significant I would have  
24 written what we call "bounding" or "cannonball" pulses,  
25 which I have not written. And then I have put

1

2

1           "fontanelle soft", which is again the soft spot on the  
2           skull.

3           Q.   The plan, please?

4           A.   The plan I have put:

5                 "Re-screen and second line antibiotics."

6                 So screening is a term we use when we look for  
7                 infection. So what that entails is taking bloods to  
8                 look for infection, putting in a cannula and giving  
9                 antibiotics. He was already on antibiotics and so if  
10                you are worried at all about any possibility of  
11                infection when you're on antibiotics, you change to  
12                a different antibiotic, so second line antibiotics,  
13                which were -- cefotaxime and teicoplanin were the ones  
14                we would go for next.

15                So that was based on the fact that he was vomiting  
16                more and concerns around that heart rate being a bit  
17                high as well as concerns for the fact that his brother  
18                had, sadly, passed away the evening before.

19           Q.   I was about to ask you on what basis did you reach that  
20           plan, but you have told us it's really the first two  
21           entries on your note?

22           A.   Yes.

23           Q.   We can go next, please, to note 177. Same shift?

24           A.   Yes.

25           Q.   About an hour later, 2.30. More familiar handwriting

1

2

1                   this time.

2       A. Yes. That's mine, my atrocious handwriting, yes.

3       Q. Could you take us through the entry you have made on  
4                   that occasion?

5       A. I have put "ATSP", which is "asked to see patient", so  
6                   that is what we put if the nurses ask us to see them,  
7                   regarding his tachycardia, which was 200 to 210 beats  
8                   per minute as well as having large milky aspirates, so  
9                   the milk coming up through the tube, and for --

10      MR JUSTICE GOSS: Sorry to interrupt you, does it say  
11                   aspirate or aspirates?

12      A. Aspirate, sorry. With -- and being quieter than  
13                   normal -- sorry, quieter than usual. His heart rate on  
14                   the monitor showed a rate of 200 to 210 beats with what  
15                   we call narrow complexes. So if you look at an ECG  
16                   normally what you have is a small bump, a big tall  
17                   inverse V shape and then another small little bump.  
18                   A narrow complex is what it should be, there should be  
19                   quite a big -- a spike that's quite rapidly up and down  
20                   with a very narrow spike.

21                   If it's abnormal, it can either be that you have  
22                   lots and lots of those narrow spikes or you can have  
23                   problems with a different part of your heart which are  
24                   wide spikes, and they look quite different.

25                   So what I was initially thinking at this point is

1

2

1 that these narrow -- so what I'm looking at there is the  
2 narrow suggests this is either normal or. Something  
3 which I'm sure you'll ask me about, the SVT.

4

I have put:

5

5 "Unable to clearly see P waves due to size of

6 complexes."

7

7 So the P wave is the little bump that you get before  
8 you get this V -- inverse V shape. If that's there,  
9 it's normal. If it's not there, it suggests something  
10 called a supraventricular tachycardia or SVT. If it  
11 happened to an adult your heart rate normally is slower,  
12 so even if it's going faster you'd be able to work it  
13 out. Whereas with babies when it's that fast they're so  
14 close together that you can't actually see these  
15 little -- very clearly on the monitor.

16

Q. Just pausing there then, this is something you're seeing  
in real time?

17

A. Yes, this is on the monitors at this point in time.

18

Q. Okay. What was it, it might be obvious from your  
answer, that was troubling you most about what you could  
see at this stage?

19

A. So with infection, heart rates can go a bit quickly.

20

Stress and pain can make their heart rates go quicker.  
But more often than not, they're sitting around 180,  
190. It's rare for them to go to 200 and stay around

1

2

1 the 200, 200-plus mark. So that's my main concern: why  
2 is this fast and staying fast. If it was pain, if it  
3 was when I did a cannula, it might go up to 200 for  
4 a few seconds or a minute and come back down, but this  
5 being quite persistent over the hour or so from what  
6 I remember and from looking through the notes.

7 Q. It moves on to septic screen.

8 A. "So septic screen undertaken. Bloods sent for FBC [full  
9 blood count], CRP [C-reactive protein], U&Es (inaudible)  
10 bilirubin and lactate."

11 And then I have also sent a sample for blood culture  
12 and I have also sent that for a blood gas as well.

13 Q. The initial -- are these the abbreviations --

14 A. These are the abbreviations at the end.

15 Q. -- at the end of the sentence?

16 A. Yes, yes.

17 Q. Okay.

18 A. Then on the blood gas which is the test that we do to  
19 look at the amount of acid in the blood, to suggest  
20 whether there's infection or to suggest if there's any  
21 problems with getting oxygen around the body, it also  
22 shows us the blood sugar, or glucose, which was 0.8,  
23 which is very low.

24 Q. Does the blood gas indicate any other difficulties from  
25 recollection?

1

2

1 A. Not from recollection, no.

2 Q. You've examined him again. On examination, I think  
3 we have O/E.4 A. Yes. I have put he handles well, so he's acting like  
5 a baby would act normally. He's pink, so's getting  
6 blood supply around his body and is well-perfused and  
7 his cap refill time -- so when you push on his chest for  
8 5 seconds and take it off -- is less than 2 seconds,  
9 which is normal as well, so I am happy with everything  
10 at that point.11 His heart sounds were normal, still has this murmur,  
12 but very quiet, his heart rate was still 200, and he  
13 still have good pulses which was reassuring. His chest  
14 was still clear, his abdomen was still soft and  
15 non-tender with good bowel sounds and no masses and  
16 his --17 Q. I am just going to ask to you pause there. We've moved  
18 on, but the word systolic appears in your earlier entry.19 A. Sorry. In your heart, you have two different sounds.  
20 You have your sounds where things are beating, so the  
21 top part of your heart beats and then it retracts so  
22 you have boom-boom. The systolic sounds is that first  
23 sound so a systolic murmur would kind of be a boom-shhhh  
24 sound in between.

25 There are different types. A continuous one would

1

2

1 literally just be a whoosh-whoosh-whoosh sound in  
2 between the two different beats of the heart that you  
3 hear, the two different noises.

4 So systolic murmurs are a lot more quiet and those  
5 tend to be the ones that are either innocent or some  
6 holes in the heart or this duct, the PDA which is this  
7 little extra tube, so those tend to fit with those, and  
8 are relatively common, particularly in a stressed baby  
9 as well.

10 Q. Moving on, AF again?

11 A. So AF is the anterior fontanelle, the soft spot, which  
12 was normotensive, so as it should be normally.

13 Q. Right. And if we move down the page again, please, you  
14 have identified things that were troubling you; is that  
15 right?

16 A. Yes. Number 1 was hypoglycaemia, so low blood sugar.  
17 Number 2 was the tachycardia, the fast heart rate, where  
18 I have put:

19 "[Query] SVT [the supraventricular tachycardia] or  
20 [query] second to sepsis."

21 Q. Pausing there, we heard a little bit about SVT from  
22 Dr Gibbs, but just in a nutshell, please remind us what  
23 SVT is.

24 A. So SVT or supraventricular tachycardia -- essentially  
25 you have got the pacemaker of the heart, which is in the

1

2

1 top chambers of the right, that sends a message to the  
2 rest of your heart to beat. Sometimes what happens is  
3 either there's a problem with feedback, and it keeps on  
4 firing, or somewhere else nearby fires that messages  
5 (sic). So what happens is rather than having a nice  
6 regular beat, it fires so many messages that your heart  
7 just keeps on beating faster and faster and faster. We  
8 see that not too uncommonly and that tends to be -- with  
9 heart rates in the 200s to 300s that we tend to suspect  
10 that.

11 Q. Is the question mark a query?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So you query SVT?

14 A. Query SVT.

15 Q. And you also query --

16 A. "[Query] second to sepsis."

17 Q. So they were the two things running through your mind  
18 at the time?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. You then set out a plan.

21 A. Yes. I have put:

22 "2ml per kg dextrose bolus."

23 So the dextrose being a different type of sugar that  
24 will help bring the sugar level up. I have put:

25 "10ml per kilogram of 0.9% saline [so salt water]

1

2

1       bolus."

2           So -- because if the heart rate's going faster we  
3       think, is he dehydrated, is there extra stress on his  
4       body, is this infection that is driving it, so giving  
5       some fluids can help reduce some of that pressure on the  
6       heart and help to reduce it. I have put:

7       "Started on second line antibiotics."

8           The cefotaxime and teicoplanin. He had a long line  
9       in place so the other thing we look for is if there's  
10      infection in the line, and if there's infection in the  
11      line you'd start a different type of antibiotic, which  
12      is teicoplanin. That's one that you use especially when  
13      you're looking for that. So that was why that choice  
14      was.15           Then a 12-lead ECG. So an ECG looks at those little  
16      squiggles of the heart, a 12-lead looks at it from  
17      different angles and there's a much more sophisticated  
18      way of picking up problems with the heart better than  
19      the monitor, so we asked for one of those as well.20           Then "consider adenosine". Adenosine is  
21      a medication which will slow the heart down -- very  
22      rapidly will bring it down. It will bring it down  
23      incredibly low and can cause problems in itself, it can  
24      go too low and potentially stop the heart. So we only  
25      use that if we're really convinced this is an SVT, hence

1

2

1           why it wasn't something we jumped for.

2   Q.  And finally, please?

3   A.  That was it, sorry.  "Consider adenosine", that was the  
4           last one.

5   Q.  Sorry, okay.  And we can see your signature there?

6   A.  Yes.

7   Q.  Next tile, please.  A third entry on your behalf,  
8           Dr Harkness, at 187.  Same handwriting, so this is you?

9   A.  Yes.

10   Q.  It's 3.30 now?

11   A.  Yes.

12   Q.  So another hour passes.  Is that the 12-lead ECG you're  
13           telling us about?

14   A.  Yes.  That shows the heart rate of 204.  It shows narrow  
15           complexes -- so like I'd said, these very narrow inverse  
16           V shapes, and I still couldn't see these P waves, these  
17           little lumps that come before this V shape.  What  
18           25 millimetres per second or 50 millimetres per second  
19           is -- you can slow down how fast the paper moves through  
20           the machine.  So if you halve the speed it's going  
21           through it makes everything look broader and makes it  
22           easier to try and see these little bumps that are called  
23           the P waves.  And I still at that couldn't see it.

24           QTC is a corrected -- I've completely forgotten what  
25           I'm doing now -- is the corrected QT, which is -- your

1

2

1       Q wave is part of the large V that comes up and comes  
2       down, and your T wave is the bump that comes afterwards  
3       which is when the electricity goes back to where it  
4       should be. And we measure that time and if that's long  
5       that can make you go into these SVTs essentially.

6           So 0.44/0.45, tends to be around the upper limit of  
7       where we would say -- 0.44 is normally the figure we'd  
8       say, so around that upper limit.

9       Q. So having had the results of that ECG, you then discuss,  
10           do you, with Dr Gibbs?

11      A. Yes.

12      Q. And can you tell us what the outcome of that discussion  
13           was, please?

14      A. So Dr Gibbs felt this was unlikely SVT as the rate would  
15       likely be closer to 300 rather than 200. So like I said  
16       before, when the baby's heart rate goes faster anyway,  
17       you expect it to be faster, and 250 to 300 tends to be  
18       more of what we'd see with SVT rather than just over the  
19       200 mark. So his suggestion was to repeat the fluid  
20       bolus of another 10ml per kilogram of saline and  
21       continue to monitor and only to give the adenosine, this  
22       medicine that slows the heart, if the heart rate goes up  
23       to around the 300 point.

24      Q. That's because of the risks that you described to us  
25           a moment or two ago?

1

2

1       A. Yes.

2       Q. Scroll down again, please. Some more results there.

3       A. So what I put there is "full blood count" at the top.

4            There's "HB", which is the red blood cells of 140, which

5            is normal. White cells, normal range. And platelets,

6            normal range. The only thing that was slightly abnormal

7            was the creatine, which is there as "creat" of 94.

8            You'd normally expect that to be in the 30s/40s, and 94

9            would suggest he's possibly a little bit dehydrated.

10            I've put "awaiting calcium". Calcium is something  
11            that can cause -- if it's abnormal can cause  
12            irregularities in the way that the heart beats,  
13            essentially. So my impression from that point was: is  
14            this dehydration that's making his heart go fast because  
15            he needs more fluid? Is this sepsis? But we were happy  
16            that the heart rate wasn't fast enough for this to be  
17            an SVT, so I've then put "unlikely SVT". So the plan at  
18            that point otherwise was to continue to monitor his  
19            sugars. I've not mentioned his sugars in that note  
20            there, but they were on the -- recorded on the charts.

21        Q. Right, okay. Just dealing with sugar, can we go next,  
22            please, Mr Murphy, to tile 191, and the form behind it.

23            Intravenous and subcutaneous infusion prescription  
24            chart. Are you familiar with that --

25        A. Yes.

1

2

1 Q. Can I ask you please to look initially -- if we go  
2 please to the entry on 5 August timed at 3.50. 3.50 am.

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. I'm going to ask Mr Murphy to highlight it so we're sure  
5 it's the one we're talking about.

6 So there are a series of entries there in the early  
7 hours of 5 August. By your reaction, do you recognise  
8 the entry at 03.50?

9 A. Yes. So what I would have done at that point is because  
10 we were thinking of dehydration, if we want to give more  
11 fluids rather than giving TPN, which we were already on,  
12 we also then will add on 10% dextrose on top to give  
13 extra fluids and extra sugar as well.

14 Q. Given the title of the chart, do we -- is that being  
15 given as an infusion rather than as a bolus?

16 A. Yes, that's an infusion, that one, so that's a rate of  
17 50ml per kilo per day, so we would have increased from  
18 whatever his daily amount was on TPN and then, because  
19 we needed more, it would have gone up on the sugar  
20 instead. So I don't know from there how much he was on,  
21 but that would be in addition as well as having the  
22 boluses either side.

23 Q. Forgive me, but why the extra sugar?

24 A. So the sugar in that was more because that's the fluids  
25 we always use regardless -- will be 10% dextrose. The

1

2

1           dextrose, 3ml of which -- there are several, those were  
2           a bolus, so those are given over a couple of minutes and  
3           those are to correct the sugar as soon as possible,  
4           whereas the infusion is there as additional. It's  
5           primarily there to give additional water and hydrate as  
6           well as giving the sugar as well. So that one's more  
7           for his hydration as opposed to sugar at that point in  
8           time, but he'd had multiple sugar boluses as well.

9           Q. Is that your signature beneath the "prescribed by" --

10          A. Yes.

11          Q. We can see your signature on a number of entries; is  
12           that right?

13          A. Yes.

14          Q. Just going down the page to the 4.20. I think you were  
15           just telling us about a bolus to boost the sugar levels.  
16           Can we go to that, please? Is that another one of your  
17           prescriptions?

18          A. Yes.

19          Q. And for the reason that you have just set out for us.  
20           As far as you recall, did any of these measures to  
21           boost the sugar have an effect on [Baby F]?

22          A. I'd need to look at the exact chart. I think all of  
23           them had an effect to bring it close to the regular  
24           range that we wanted, but they kept drifting up and  
25           down, which is why we needed to keep giving them.

1

2

1 MR ASTBURY: Thank you. I have no more questions for you,

2 Dr Harkness. I'm not sure there are any --

3 MR MYERS: No, my Lord, Dr Harkness wasn't a witness we

4 required on this count and we have no questions for him.

5 MR ASTBURY: Unless my Lord has any questions?

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I don't.

7 That completes your evidence at this stage. But

8 coming back?

9 MR ASTBURY: Yes.

10 MR JUSTICE GOSS: So as before, what I said to you before

11 still applies.

12 A. Yes.

13 MR JUSTICE GOSS: No discussion, no reading of any reports

14 or research into what's been said during the course of

15 trial. Thank you very much, doctor.

16 There we are. At least I'm consistent in not  
17 knowing how long sessions are going to take. You heard  
18 it yourselves, what was said, so I'm not in any way  
19 critical, I'm sorry you've had a shortened break now,  
20 but it does mean you begin the afternoon earlier and  
21 you're free to go.

22 It is difficult to know precisely how long witnesses  
23 are going to take. So another weekend. You well know,  
24 because you're into the routine now of this case and you  
25 well know your responsibilities.

1                   It does occur to me, actually, Mr Astbury, it's  
2                   helpful to have the occasional document. I'm not  
3                   suggesting we have a lot of documents, but I am thinking  
4                   that some of these neonatal charts, in particular one or  
5                   two charts that are being regularly referred to and  
6                   appear again and again and again at various times in the  
7                   chronology -- to have a paper copy would be very helpful  
8                   rather than having to look at the screen each time.

9 MR ASTBURY: I can see enthusiastic nods. So nobody's going  
10 to complain if we do.

11 MR JUSTICE GOSS: If you don't do it, when I come to sum up,  
12 I will do it and hand them out then. I think it'll be  
13 much more helpful to have them as working documents  
14 during the trial. I'm seeing a lot of nods.

15 All right, thank you very much indeed. I know it's  
16 a digital age, but it doesn't always work for every  
17 situation.

18                    10.30 on Monday. It'll always be 10.30 unless  
19                    I raise it and I'm not planning on raising it.  
20                    Thank you very much indeed.

21 (In the absence of the jury)

22 MR JOHNSON: Shall I take that back so we can keep tabs on  
23 where it is?

24 MR JUSTICE GOSS: I think so, and it is exhibited. It  
25 should be exhibited and we will just retain the exhibit

1

2

1 reference number. I don't know whether you can see what  
2 that is on the --

3 MR JOHNSON: Yes.

4 MR JUSTICE GOSS: So it can go on the record.

5 MR JOHNSON: For the record --

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Is it on the label?

7 MR JOHNSON: It is. It's X815 on the police system. It  
8 hasn't been attributed the normal sort of NJ1, that sort  
9 of thing, it just says X815.

10 MR JUSTICE GOSS: That's all right. X815 will do, and the  
11 description of it, an example of 10ml of --

12 MR JOHNSON: And it now has the court label on it as well.

13 MR JUSTICE GOSS: -- yes. Dextrose. Thank you very much.

14 It's occurred to me during the course of the trial  
15 as well, the use of clock times. When I come to sum up,  
16 I am going to use the 24-hour clock to avoid any  
17 difficulties, so I'm converting all the times to  
18 24 hours. So if we're dealing with, say, 7 pm, it's  
19 19.00 hours. So I will be working from that and I'm  
20 going to use the word "tile" rather than "slide" or  
21 "tile" or whatever it is, so that there is consistency.

22 I'm not being critical, but people at different stages  
23 are referring to them by different names.

24 MR JOHNSON: Yes, a bit like glucose and sugar.

25 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Well, obviously, the experts refer to it

1

2

1 as different things, we all know that. We can't  
2 standardise that, I'm afraid.

3 Thank you very much.

4 MR MYERS: We'd like a brief visit with Ms Letby if we may,  
5 please, my Lord.

6 MR JUSTICE GOSS: Thank you very much.

7 We have this loose at the moment, but if you can  
8 discuss with Mr Myers just about what paper documents  
9 it would be felt are helpful.

10 MR MYERS: There is a jury bundle, in fact, so it may be  
11 we can develop that.

12 MR JUSTICE GOSS: There is a jury bundle, a paper bundle,  
13 and we just have it in a section there. I suggest  
14 we have them all in a section there with a sub-index,  
15 perhaps. Right. Thank you very much.

16 (1.47 pm)

17 (The court adjourned until 10.30 am  
18 on Monday, 28 November 2022)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## I N D E X

3	DR ANNA MILAN (sworn) .....	1
4	Examination-in-chief by MR ASTBURY .....	1
5	Cross-examination by MR MYERS .....	20
6	Re-examination by MR ASTBURY .....	24
7	Questions from THE JUDGE .....	25
8		
9	PROFESSOR PETER HINDMARSH (sworn) .....	26
10	Examination-in-chief by MR JOHNSON .....	26
11	Cross-examination by MR MYERS .....	61
12	Re-examination by MR JOHNSON .....	74
13	Further cross-examination by MR MYERS .....	76
14		
15	DR DAVID HARKNESS (recalled) .....	79
16	Examination-in-chief by MR ASTBURY .....	79
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		